# Solutions to the Exercises in Structural Equation Modeling

#### Dr. Kerstin Hesse

Email: kerstin.hesse@hhl.de; Phone: +49 (0)341 9851-820; Office: HHL Main Building, Room 115A

HHL Leipzig Graduate School of Management, Jahnallee 59, 04109 Leipzig, Germany

Doctoral Program at HHL, June 1-2, 2012

#### Outline & Table of Contents

This document contains the solutions to the exercises in the course material (on the slides).



I will upload this document after the end of the course, so that you have all the solutions for the assignment.

Structural Equation Modeling

# Solutions to Topic 1: Revision of Linear Algebra and Variance/Covariance

Dr. Kerstin Hesse

Email: kerstin.hesse@hhl.de; Phone: +49 (0)341 9851-820; Office: HHL Main Building, Room 115A

HHL Leipzig Graduate School of Management, Jahnallee 59, 04109 Leipzig, Germany

Doctoral Program at HHL, June 1-2, 2012

#### Ex. 1.1: Matrix Multiplication

Execute the matrix multiplication

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 1 & -1\\-1 & 1 & 0\\0 & -2 & 1\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 2 & 3\\4 & 5 & 6\\7 & 8 & 9\end{array}\right)$$

Solution:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 4 + (-1) \cdot 7 & 1 \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot 5 + (-1) \cdot 8 & 1 \cdot 3 + 1 \cdot 6 + (-1) \cdot 9 \\ (-1) \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 4 + 0 \cdot 7 & (-1) \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot 5 + 0 \cdot 8 & (-1) \cdot 3 + 1 \cdot 6 + 0 \cdot 9 \\ 0 \cdot 1 + (-2) \cdot 4 + 1 \cdot 7 & 0 \cdot 2 + (-2) \cdot 5 + 1 \cdot 8 & 0 \cdot 3 + (-2) \cdot 6 + 1 \cdot 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -1 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \\ -1 & -2 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$$

4 / 126

٠

#### Ex. 1.2: Determinants of $2 \times 2$ and $3 \times 3$ Matrices

Compute the determinants of the following two matrices

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Solution:

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = 1 \cdot 4 - 3 \cdot 2 = 4 - 6 = -2$$

and

$$det(\mathbf{B}) = 1 \cdot 5 \cdot 9 + 2 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 + 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 8 - 7 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 - 8 \cdot 6 \cdot 1 - 9 \cdot 4 \cdot 2$$
  
= 45 + 84 + 96 - 105 - 48 - 72  
= 225 - 225 = 0.

#### Ex. 1.3: General Formula for the Determinant

Use the *expansion with respect to the first column formula* from page 17 of the lecture slides to compute the *determinant* of

$$\mathbf{B} = \left( \begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{array} \right).$$

Solution: We expand with respect to the first column:

$$det(\mathbf{B}) = (-1)^{1+1} \cdot 1 \cdot det \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix} + (-1)^{2+1} \cdot 4 \cdot det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix} + (-1)^{3+1} \cdot 7 \cdot det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= [5 \cdot 9 - 8 \cdot 6] - 4 \cdot [2 \cdot 9 - 8 \cdot 3] + 7 \cdot [2 \cdot 6 - 5 \cdot 3]$$
$$= [45 - 48] - 4 \cdot [18 - 24] + 7 \cdot [12 - 15] = -3 + 24 - 21 = 0.$$

Consider the symmetric matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{3}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

• Compute the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \lambda_3$  and the corresponding eigenvectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3$  of **A** (where  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{x}_i$ , i = 1, 2, 3).

Find an orthogonal matrix S such that

$$\mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}' \mathbf{A} \mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

with  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3$ . Execute the matrix multiplication in (1) to verify that you have chosen **S** correctly.

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

Solution of Part 1: We find the zeros/roots the characteristic polynomial

$${\it p}({f A},\lambda) = {\sf det}\left(\lambda\,{f I}-{f A}
ight)$$

of A: Using the rule for the determinant of  $3 \times 3$  matrices yields

$$p(\mathbf{A}, \lambda) = \det (\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - \frac{3}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \lambda - 3 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \lambda - \frac{3}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \left(\lambda - \frac{3}{2}\right)^2 (\lambda - 3) - \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 (\lambda - 3)$$
$$= \left(\lambda^2 - 3\lambda + \frac{9}{4} - \frac{1}{4}\right) (\lambda - 3)$$
$$= (\lambda^2 - 3\lambda + 2)(\lambda - 3) = (\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3),$$

and we see that the *eigenvalues* are  $\lambda_1 = 3$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 2$ , and  $\lambda_3 = 1$ .

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

To find the eigenvectors, we solve  $(\lambda_j \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{x}_j = \mathbf{0}$  for j = 1, 2, 3. More precisely, for each value of  $\lambda_j$  we have to solve the linear system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i - \frac{3}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \lambda_i - 3 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \lambda_i - \frac{3}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where the eigenvector  $\mathbf{x}_i$  is denoted by  $\mathbf{x}_i = (x, y, z)'$ .

We note that this is the same as solving the linear system

and it is also equivalent to solving  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{x}_i$ . However, it is more convenient (less computational work!) to use a system with a zero vector on the right-hand side, and so we prefer to work with (2) or (3).

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

The mathematically economical way to solve such a linear system is to write is as an *augmented matrix*  $(\mathbf{A} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I} | \mathbf{0})$ , more explicitly:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{i} - \frac{3}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{i} - 3 & 0 & | & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \lambda_{i} - \frac{3}{2} & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4)

You can do this for any linear system  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  and would have  $(\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{b})$ ; the last column contains the right-hand side  $\mathbf{b}$  of the linear system.

On (4) (and more generally on  $(\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{b})$ ) we can now perform *elementary row operations* (*important:* also apply the operation to the last column!):

- multiply/divide a row by a real number
- add/subtract a row from another row.
- swap two rows
- o combinations: add/subtract a multiple of a row to/from another row

For  $\lambda_1 = 3$ , we first add the third row to the first row.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{3}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{3}{2} & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Subsequently we add 1/2 times the new first row to the third row. Then we divide the new third row by 2.

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{array}\right) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

Finally, we subtract the new third row from the new first row.

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array}\right) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathbf{x}_1 = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (5)

Setting  $\mathbf{x}_3 = (x, y, z)'$  we get, x = 0, z = 0,  $y = \alpha$  for any real number  $\alpha$ .

(The notation  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  in (5) means:  $\alpha$  is an element of the real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ .) For  $\lambda_2 = 2$ , we add the first row to the third row.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we multiply the first row by 2 and multiply the second row by  $\left(-1
ight)$ 

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \left( \begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathbf{x}_2 = \beta \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right), \quad \beta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In the last step we have used that the linear system provides the equations x - z = 0 and y = 0 if we denote  $\mathbf{x}_1 = (x, y, z)'$ . Hence y = 0 and  $x = z = \beta$  for any choice of the real number  $\beta$ .

For  $\lambda_3 = 1$ , we subtract the first row from the third row.

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we multiply the first row by (-2) and multiply the second row by (-1/2) and obtain

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \left( \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathbf{x}_3 = \gamma \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{array} \right), \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In the last step we have used that the linear system provides the equations x + z = 0 and y = 0 if we denote  $\mathbf{x}_3 = (x, y, z)'$ . Hence y = 0, z = -x and  $x = \gamma$  for any real number  $\gamma$ .

<

We summarize our results so far:

$$\lambda_1 = 3$$
 has the eigenvectors  $\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  
 $\lambda_2 = 2$  has the eigenvectors  $\mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ 0 \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$ ,  
 $\lambda_3 = 1$  has the eigenvectors  $\mathbf{x}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ 0 \\ -\gamma \end{pmatrix}$ ,

where the real numbers  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  can have any value apart from zero. (Eigenvectors must be different from the zero vector; hence we must exclude  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\beta = 0$  and  $\gamma = 0$ .)

Solution of Part 2: Since **A** is symmetric, its eigenvectors to different eigenvalues are orthogonal. Thus we obtain a suitable orthogonal matrix **S** by choosing normalized eigenvectors (i.e. eigenvectors with length 1).

From the results in the previous part of this question, the vectors

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \left( egin{array}{c} 0\\ 1\\ 0 \end{array} 
ight), \quad \mathbf{x}_2 = \left( egin{array}{c} 1/\sqrt{2}\\ 0\\ 1/\sqrt{2} \end{array} 
ight), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{x}_3 = \left( egin{array}{c} 1/\sqrt{2}\\ 0\\ -1/\sqrt{2} \end{array} 
ight)$$

are normalized eigenvectors to the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = 3$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 2$ , and  $\lambda_3 = 1$ , respectively, and they are orthogonal to each other. (*Note*: To get a normalized eigenvector, divide the eigenvector by its length.)

Thus we choose the orthogonal matrix to be

$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{S}' = \mathbf{S}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

To confirm that we have correctly chosen an *orthogonal matrix* S, we execute the matrix multiplications S'S and SS'.

$$\mathbf{S'S} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{SS'} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This shows that S' S = S S' = I and hence  $S' = S^{-1}$ , i.e. our S is an orthogonal matrix.

From executing the matrix multiplications, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}' \mathbf{A} \mathbf{S} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{3}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

#### Ex. 1.5: Mean, Variance, Covariance and Correlation

Consider the random variables X = mark of students in percentage and Y = age of the student. In a sample of 3 students we found the values

$$x_1 = 80, x_2 = 90, x_3 = 70$$
 and  $y_1 = 24, y_2 = 23, y_3 = 22$ 

for X and Y, respectively. *Estimate* the *covariance* and the *correlation coefficient* of X and Y from the sample.

<u>Solution</u>: From the examples on the lecture slides, we already know that the mean of X is  $\overline{x} = 80$  and that the empirical standard deviation of X is  $s_X = 10$ .

$$\overline{y} = \frac{1}{3} (y_1 + y_2 + y_3) = \frac{1}{3} (24 + 23 + 22) = \frac{69}{3} = 23$$

$$s_Y^2 = \frac{1}{3-1} \left[ (y_1 - \overline{y})^2 + (y_2 - \overline{y})^2 + (y_3 - \overline{y})^2 \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (24 - 23)^2 + (23 - 23)^2 + (22 - 23)^2 \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1^2 + (-1)^2 \right] = 1$$

#### Ex. 1.5: Mean, Variance, Covariance and Correlation

Hence we find that the mean of Y is  $\overline{y} = 23$  and that the empirical standard deviation of Y is  $s_Y = \sqrt{1} = 1$ .

Next we compute the *empirical covariance* of X and Y:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\text{Cov}}(X,Y) &= \frac{1}{3-1} \left[ (x_1 - \overline{x}) (y_1 - \overline{y}) + (x_2 - \overline{x}) (y_2 - \overline{y})^2 + (x_3 - \overline{x}) (y_3 - \overline{y})^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (80 - 80) \cdot (24 - 23) + (90 - 80) \cdot (23 - 23) + (70 - 80) \cdot (22 - 23) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ 0 \cdot 1 + 10 \cdot 0 + (-10) \cdot (-1) \right] = \frac{10}{2} = 5. \end{aligned}$$

The empirical correlation coefficient is given by

$$\widehat{\varrho}(X,Y) = \frac{\widehat{\mathsf{Cov}}(X,Y)}{s_X \cdot s_Y} = \frac{5}{10 \cdot 1} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

#### Ex. 1.6: Formal Manipulations of Expectation Values

Let X, Y and W be random variables with expectation values  $\mu_X = E(X)$ ,  $\mu_Y = E(Y)$  and  $\mu_W = E(W)$  and standard deviations  $\sigma_X$ ,  $\sigma_Y$  and  $\sigma_W$ , respectively. Let a, b and c be real numbers. Use

$$\mathsf{E}(a \cdot X + b \cdot Y) = a \cdot \mathsf{E}(X) + b \cdot \mathsf{E}(Y). \tag{6}$$

to verify the following relations:

$$E\Big([a \cdot (X - \mu_X) + b \cdot (Y - \mu_Y)] \cdot [c \cdot (W - \mu_W)]\Big)$$
  
=  $a \cdot c \cdot Cov(X, W) + b \cdot c \cdot Cov(Y, W),$   
 $Var(a \cdot X) = a^2 \cdot Var(X).$ 

<u>Solution</u>: We start by determining the various terms from executing the multiplication of the two terms of which we take the expectation value.

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

Solutions: Structural Equation Modeling

ling HHL, Jι

HHL, June 1-2, 2012 20 / 126

#### Ex. 1.6: Formal Manipulations of Expectation Values

$$E\Big(\Big[a\cdot(X-\mu_X)+b\cdot(Y-\mu_Y)\Big]\cdot\Big[c\cdot(W-\mu_W)\Big]\Big)$$
  
=  $E\Big(a\cdot(X-\mu_X)\cdot c\cdot(W-\mu_W)+b\cdot(Y-\mu_Y)\cdot c\cdot(W-\mu_W)\Big)$   
=  $E\Big((a\cdot c)\cdot(X-\mu_X)\cdot(W-\mu_W)+(b\cdot c)\cdot(Y-\mu_Y)\cdot(W-\mu_W)\Big)$   
=  $(a\cdot c)\cdot E\Big((X-\mu_X)\cdot(W-\mu_W)\Big)+(b\cdot c)\cdot E\Big((Y-\mu_Y)\cdot(W-\mu_W)\Big)$   
=  $(a\cdot c)\cdot Cov(X,W)+(b\cdot c)\cdot Cov(Y,W),$ 

where we have used (6) in the 4th step. We note that it was essential that we kept the centered variables  $(X - \mu_X)$ ,  $(Y - \mu_Y)$  and  $(W - \mu_W)$ .

To verify  $Var(a \cdot X) = a^2 \cdot Var(X)$ , we express  $Var(a \cdot X)$  as an expectation value: Using that (from (6) with b = 0)  $E(a \cdot X) = a \cdot E(X)$ , we have

$$Var(a \cdot X) = E([a \cdot X - E(a \cdot X)]^2) = E([a \cdot X - a \cdot E(X)]^2)$$
  
=  $E(a^2 \cdot [X - E(X)]^2) = a^2 \cdot E([X - E(X)]^2) = a^2 \cdot Var(X).$ 

#### Structural Equation Modeling

#### **Solutions to Topic 2: Factor Analysis**

Dr. Kerstin Hesse

Email: kerstin.hesse@hhl.de; Phone: +49 (0)341 9851-820; Office: HHL Main Building, Room 115A

HHL Leipzig Graduate School of Management, Jahnallee 59, 04109 Leipzig, Germany

#### Doctoral Program at HHL, June 1-2, 2012

## Ex. 2.1: Standardized Data in Example & Toy Exercise

Given the rating for vitamins (=  $X_1$ ), rating for calories (=  $X_2$ ), rating for shelf live date (=  $X_3$ ) and rating for price (=  $X_4$ ) for 5 types of cereal in the following table, compute the data for the corresponding *standardized* variables  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_4$  and write down the *standardized data matrix*:

Cereal	X <sub>1</sub> (Vitamins)	$X_2$ (Calories)	X <sub>3</sub> (Shelf Live)	X <sub>4</sub> (Price)
e <sub>1</sub>	4	2	3	3
e <sub>2</sub>	2	4	3	3
e <sub>3</sub>	3	3	3	3
e <sub>4</sub>	3	3	2	4
<i>e</i> 5	3	3	4	2

#### Ex. 2.1: Standardized Data in Example & Toy Exercise

<u>Solution</u>: For each random variable  $X_j$ , we first compute the mean  $\overline{x_j}$ 

$$\overline{x_1} = \frac{1}{5} \cdot (4 + 2 + 3 + 3 + 3) = \frac{15}{3} = 3,$$
  

$$\overline{x_2} = \frac{1}{5} \cdot (2 + 4 + 3 + 3 + 3) = \frac{15}{3} = 3,$$
  

$$\overline{x_3} = \frac{1}{5} \cdot (3 + 3 + 3 + 2 + 4) = \frac{15}{3} = 3,$$
  

$$\overline{x_4} = \frac{1}{5} \cdot (3 + 3 + 3 + 4 + 2) = \frac{15}{3} = 3,$$

and the empirical variance  $s_i^2$  and empirical standard deviation  $s_j$ 

$$\begin{split} s_1^2 &= \frac{1}{4} \cdot \left( 1^2 + (-1)^2 + 0 + 0 + 0 \right) = \frac{2}{4} = \frac{1}{2} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad s_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ s_2^2 &= \frac{1}{4} \cdot \left( (-1)^2 + 1^2 + 0 + 0 + 0 \right) = \frac{2}{4} = \frac{1}{2} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad s_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ s_3^2 &= \frac{1}{4} \cdot \left( 0 + 0 + 0 + (-1)^2 + 1^2 \right) = \frac{2}{4} = \frac{1}{2} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad s_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ s_4^2 &= \frac{1}{4} \cdot \left( 0 + 0 + 0 + 1^2 + (-1)^2 \right) = \frac{2}{4} = \frac{1}{2} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad s_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}. \end{split}$$

### Ex. 2.1: Standardized Data in Example & Toy Exercise

The standardized data for the variable  $X_i$  and the cereal  $e_i$  is given by

$$z_{ij} = \frac{x_{ij} - \overline{x_j}}{s_j} = \frac{x_{ij} - 3}{1/\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{2} \cdot (x_{ij} - 3)$$
, where  $x_{ij}$  = value of  $X_j$  for cereal  $e_i$ 

Thus we find the *standardized data matrix*:

 $\mathbf{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \\ -\sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \xleftarrow{\leftarrow} \text{standardized data for cereal } e_{3} \\ \leftarrow \text{standardized data for cereal } e_{4} \\ \leftarrow \text{standardized data for cereal } e_{5} \\ \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ Z_{1} & Z_{2} & Z_{3} & Z_{4} \end{pmatrix}$ 

where  $Z_j$  is the standardized variable the corresponds to  $X_j$ .

#### Ex. 2.2: Some Model Equations in the Toy Exercise

Write down the *model equations* for each random variable  $X_j$  for cereals  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ . Inspect the model equations:

- What are the *unknowns*?
- Compare the model equations with the equations in *(multiple)* regression. Where lies the *difference*?

<u>Solution</u> We start by inspecting an individual equation: For cereal  $e_1$  and random variable  $Z_1$  (standardized variable corresponding to  $X_1$ ) we have the model equation:

$$\sqrt{2} = a_{1,1} \cdot f_{1,1} + a_{1,2} \cdot f_{1,2} + \ldots + a_{1,p} \cdot f_{1,p} + u_{1,1}.$$

- The factor loadings  $a_{jk}$  depend on the random variable  $X_j$  and the factors  $F_k$  but *not* on the different types of cereal.
- The values  $f_{ik}$  of the factors  $F_k$  depend on the different types of cereal  $e_i$  but are the same for all random variables  $X_j$ .
- The unique factors  $u_{ik}$  depend on the random variable  $X_k$  and on the type of cereal  $e_i$ .

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

#### Ex. 2.2: Some Model Equations in the Toy Exercise

r. var.	model equations for $e_1$	model equations for $e_2$	
<i>Z</i> <sub>1</sub>	$\sqrt{2} = a_{1,1} \cdot f_{1,1} + a_{1,2} \cdot f_{1,2} \\ + \ldots + a_{1,p} \cdot f_{1,p} + u_{1,1}$	$-\sqrt{2} = a_{1,1} \cdot f_{2,1} + a_{1,2} \cdot f_{2,2} \\ + \ldots + a_{1,p} \cdot f_{2,p} + u_{2,1}$	
Z <sub>2</sub>	$-\sqrt{2} = a_{2,1} \cdot f_{1,1} + a_{2,2} \cdot f_{1,2} \\ + \ldots + a_{2,p} \cdot f_{1,p} + u_{1,2}$	$\sqrt{2} = a_{2,1} \cdot f_{2,1} + a_{2,2} \cdot f_{2,2} \\ + \ldots + a_{2,p} \cdot f_{2,p} + u_{2,2}$	
Z <sub>3</sub>	$0 = a_{3,1} \cdot f_{1,1} + a_{3,2} \cdot f_{1,2} \\ + \ldots + a_{3,p} \cdot f_{1,p} + u_{1,3}$	$0 = a_{3,1} \cdot f_{2,1} + a_{3,2} \cdot f_{2,2} \\ + \ldots + a_{3,p} \cdot f_{2,p} + u_{2,3}$	
Z <sub>4</sub>	$0 = a_{4,1} \cdot f_{1,1} + a_{4,2} \cdot f_{1,2} \\ + \ldots + a_{4,p} \cdot f_{1,p} + u_{1,4}$	$0 = a_{4,1} \cdot f_{2,1} + a_{4,2} \cdot f_{2,2} \\ + \ldots + a_{4,p} \cdot f_{2,p} + u_{2,4}$	

We note that in each equation the factor loadings (coefficients)  $a_{ik}$  and the values of the factors  $f_{ik}$  are the unknowns.

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

Solutions: Structural Equation Modeling

#### Ex. 2.2: Some Model Equations in the Toy Exercise

The model equation for cereal  $e_1$  and random variable  $X_1$ 

$$\sqrt{2} = a_{1,1} \cdot f_{1,1} + a_{1,2} \cdot f_{1,2} + \ldots + a_{1,p} \cdot f_{1,p} + u_{1,1}.$$

looks like the equation of a (multivariate) regression.

However, in *regression* we would also know *values for the factors*, but these are *unknown*!

#### Ex. 2.3: Correlation Matrix

Compute the *correlation matrix* for our toy example.

Solution: Using the standardized data matrix from Ex. 2.1 we have  $\mathbf{R} = \frac{1}{5-1} \, \mathbf{Z}' \, \mathbf{Z}$  $=\frac{1}{4}\left(\begin{array}{cccccc} \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ -\sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccccc} \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0\\ -\sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2}\\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} \end{array}\right)$ 

#### Ex. 2.4: Communalities and Reduced Correlation Matrix

For our toy example, estimate the communalities with method 1 (see page 57 of the lecture slides) and estimate  $\mathbf{R}_h$  for our toy example.

Solution: In Ex. 2.3 we found the correlation matrix

$$\mathbf{R} = (r_{i,k}) = egin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \ \end{pmatrix},$$

and from method 1 we get the following estimates of the communalities:

$$\begin{split} &\widehat{h_1}^2 = \max_{k \neq 1} |r_{1,k}| = \max\{0, |-1|\} = 1, \\ &\widehat{h_2}^2 = \max_{k \neq 2} |r_{2,k}| = \max\{0, |-1|\} = 1, \\ &\widehat{h_3}^2 = \max_{k \neq 3} |r_{3,k}| = \max\{0, |-1|\} = 1, \\ &\widehat{h_4}^2 = \max_{k \neq 4} |r_{4,k}| = \max\{0, |-1|\} = 1. \end{split}$$

Solutions: Structural Equation Modeling

#### Ex. 2.4: Communalities and Reduced Correlation Matrix

To estimate the reduced correlation matrix  $\mathbf{R}_{h} = \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{\Psi}$ , we need to replace the *j*th diagonal entry  $r_{jj}$  of  $\mathbf{R}$  by the estimate of communality  $\hat{h_{j}}^{2}$ . Here we find that

$$r_{jj} = {\widehat{h_j}}^2 = 1$$
 for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, 4$ .

Hence

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}_h} = \mathbf{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e. the estimated reduced correlation matrix  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{h}$  is *identical* to the correlation matrix  $\mathbf{R}$ .

## Ex. 2.5: Estimating the Factor Loading Matrix with PCA

Estimate the *factor loading matrix* **A** for our toy example using the *Kaiser criterion*. Write down the explicit model equations and *interpret* them.

<u>Solution</u>: Step 1: We start by computing the *eigenvalues* of  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}_h$ :

$$det(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{R}) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \lambda - 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda - 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \lambda - 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= (\lambda - 1)det\begin{pmatrix} \lambda - 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda - 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \lambda - 1 \end{pmatrix} - det\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda - 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \lambda - 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= (\lambda - 1) \cdot [(\lambda - 1)^3 - (\lambda - 1)] - [(\lambda - 1)^2 - 1],$$

where we have expanded the determinant with respect to the first row and then used the formula for the determinants of  $3 \times 3$  matrices.

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

Solutions: Structural Equation Modeling

We simplify, and use the binomial formulas  $a^2 - 2 \cdot a \cdot b + b^2 = (a - b)^2$  and  $c^2 - d^2 = (c - d) \cdot (c + d)$ .

$$det(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{R}) = (\lambda - 1) \cdot [(\lambda - 1)^3 - (\lambda - 1)] - [(\lambda - 1)^2 - 1]$$
  
=  $\underbrace{[(\lambda - 1)^2]^2}_{=a^2} - \underbrace{2(\lambda - 1)^2}_{=-2 \cdot a \cdot b} + \underbrace{1}_{=b^2} = [\underbrace{(\lambda - 1)^2}_{=a} - \underbrace{1}_{=b}]^2$   
=  $[\underbrace{(\lambda - 1)^2}_{=c^2} - \underbrace{1}_{=d^2}]^2 = [\underbrace{(\lambda - 1 - 1)}_{=c - d} \cdot \underbrace{(\lambda - 1 + 1)}_{=c + d}]^2$   
=  $[(\lambda - 2) \cdot \lambda]^2 = (\lambda - 2)^2 \cdot \lambda^2$ 

Thus we find the *eigenvalues* 

$$\lambda_1 = 2, \quad \lambda_2 = 2, \quad \lambda_3 = 0, \quad \lambda_4 = 0.$$

Next we compute the *corresponding eigenvectors* by solving the linear system  $(\lambda_j \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{R}) \mathbf{b}_j = \mathbf{0}$  for each eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$ .

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

Solutions: Structural Equation Modeling

For  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 2$  we have to solve:

$$(2\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{R} | \mathbf{0}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

In the first step, we have subtracted the 1st row from the 2nd row, and we have subtracted the 3rd row from the 4th row.

Thus we obtain for the eigenvectors  $\mathbf{b} = (w, x, y, z)'$  the equations

$$(w+x=0 \text{ and } y+z=0) \Leftrightarrow (x=-w \text{ and } z=-y)$$

From these equations, two normalized orthogonal eigenvectors for  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 2$  are  $\mathbf{b}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\mathbf{b}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

For  $\lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 0$  we have to solve:

$$(0\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{R} | \mathbf{0}) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

In the first step, we have added the 1st row from the 2nd row, and we have added the 3rd row from the 4th row.

Thus we obtain for the eigenvectors  $\mathbf{b} = (w, x, y, z)'$  the equations

$$(-w+x=0 \text{ and } -y+z=0) \Leftrightarrow (x=w \text{ and } z=y)$$

From these equations, two normalized orthogonal eigenvectors for  $\lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 0$  are  $\mathbf{b}_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\mathbf{b}_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1\\1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Step 2: We have found only 2 positive eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 2$  with two corresponding orthogonal eigenvectors

$$\mathbf{b}_{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{b}_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus we initially choose

$$\mathbf{A} = \left(\sqrt{\lambda_1} \, \mathbf{b}_1, \sqrt{\lambda_2} \, \mathbf{b}_2\right) = \left(\sqrt{2} \, \mathbf{b}_1, \sqrt{2} \, \mathbf{b}_2\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0\\ -1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\\ 0 & -1 \end{array}\right)$$

Step 3: The Kaiser criterion suggests to use only those eigenvalues  $\lambda_j$  (and the corresponding eigenvectors  $\mathbf{b}_j$ ) that satisfy  $\lambda_j > 1$ . For our example we have  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 2 > 1$ , and hence we keep our initial choice of  $\mathbf{A}$ , and we have found p = 2 factors.
Out of interest, we test how well  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}'$  reproduces the matrix  $\mathbf{R}$ :

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{= \mathbf{R}}$$

We note that we are here in the *unusual* situation that

 $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}' + \mathbf{\Psi}$  with  $\mathbf{\Psi} = \mathbf{0}$ .

As  $\Psi$  is the model covariance matrix of the unique factors,  $\Psi = \mathbf{0}$  tells us that  $\psi_{jj} = 0$  (i.e.  $Var(U_j)$  is estimated to be zero) for j = 1, 2, ..., 4. Since by assumption  $E(U_j) = 0$ , based on our sampled data we expect  $U_j = 0$  for j = 1, 2, ..., 4.

Thus, based on our sample, our factor analysis model with the two factors appears to be an *exact model without model errors*.

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

Solutions: Structural Equation Modeling

37 / 126

Explicit Model Equations: From

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

we have:  $a_{1,1} = 1$ ,  $a_{1,2} = 0$  (for  $Z_1$ );  $a_{2,1} = -1$ ,  $a_{2,2} = 0$  (for  $Z_2$ );  $a_{3,1} = 0$ ,  $a_{3,2} = 1$  (for  $Z_3$ ); and  $a_{4,1} = 0$ ,  $a_{4,2} = -1$  (for  $Z_4$ ).

Thus the *model equations* are given by:

$$Z_{1} = a_{1,1} \cdot F_{1} + a_{1,2} \cdot F_{2} + U_{1} = F_{1} + U_{1} = F_{1},$$
  

$$Z_{2} = a_{2,1} \cdot F_{1} + a_{2,2} \cdot F_{2} + U_{1} = -F_{1} + U_{2} = -F_{1},$$
  

$$Z_{3} = a_{3,1} \cdot F_{1} + a_{3,2} \cdot F_{2} + U_{1} = F_{2} + U_{3} = F_{2},$$
  

$$Z_{4} = a_{4,1} \cdot F_{1} + a_{4,2} \cdot F_{2} + U_{1} = -F_{2} + U_{4} = -F_{2},$$

where, in the last step, we have used that our factor analysis model appears to be exact (no error terms  $U_i$  required; see last page).

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

Interpretation of the Model Equations and the Factors:

$$Z_1 = F_1 + U_1 = F_1,$$
  

$$Z_2 = -F_1 + U_2 = -F_1,$$
  

$$Z_3 = F_2 + U_3 = F_2,$$
  

$$Z_4 = -F_2 + U_4 = -F_2,$$

We observe that:

- *F*<sub>1</sub> is *positively correlated* to *X*<sub>1</sub> = rating for vitamins and *negatively correlated* to *X*<sub>2</sub> = rating for calories. *F*<sub>1</sub> is *uncorrelated* to *X*<sub>3</sub> = rating for shelf life date and *X*<sub>4</sub> = rating for price.
- F<sub>2</sub> is *positively correlated* to X<sub>3</sub> = rating for shelf life date and *negatively correlated* to X<sub>4</sub> = rating for price. F<sub>2</sub> is *uncorrelated* to X<sub>1</sub> = rating for vitamins and X<sub>2</sub> = rating for calories.

Thus we may interpret  $F_1$  as healthiness and  $F_2$  as cost effectiveness.

The diagram below describes our *factor analytic model*:



#### Ex. 2.6: Factor Values

Compute the *factor values* for our toy example.

Solution: From the least squares equations we have to compute

$$\mathbf{F}' = (\mathbf{A}' \, \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}' \, \mathbf{Z}'.$$

We start by computing  $\mathbf{A}' \mathbf{A}$  and its inverse matrix  $(\mathbf{A}' \mathbf{A})^{-1}$ 

$$\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A})^{-1} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

#### Ex. 2.6: Factor Values

Next we compute  $\mathbf{F}'$  from  $\mathbf{F}' = (\mathbf{A}' \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}' \mathbf{Z}'$ .

 $\mathbf{F}' = (\mathbf{A}' \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}' \mathbf{Z}'$  $= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ -\sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$  $= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2\sqrt{2} & -2\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2\sqrt{2} & 2\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$  $= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$ 

# Ex. 2.6: Factor Values

Taking the transpose of  $\mathbf{F}'$ , the matrix  $\mathbf{F}$  of the factor values is given by

$${f F}(f_{ij})=\left(egin{array}{ccc} \sqrt{2} & 0 \ -\sqrt{2} & 0 \ 0 & 0 \ 0 & -\sqrt{2} \ 0 & \sqrt{2} \end{array}
ight)$$

We find that the *factor values* in our example are:

• 
$$f_{1,1} = \sqrt{2}$$
 and  $f_{1,2} = 0$  for cereal  $e_1$   
•  $f_{2,1} = -\sqrt{2}$  and  $f_{2,2} = 0$  for cereal  $e_2$   
•  $f_{3,1} = 0$  and  $f_{3,2} = 0$  for cereal  $e_3$   
•  $f_{4,1} = 0$  and  $f_{4,2} = -\sqrt{2}$  for cereal  $e_4$   
•  $f_{5,1} = 0$  and  $f_{5,2} = \sqrt{2}$  for cereal  $e_5$ 

Interpret the factor values for our example.

<u>Solution</u>: The factor values  $f_{1,1} = \sqrt{2}$ ,  $f_{1,2} = 0$  for cereal  $e_1$  indicate an *above average healthiness* and an average cost effectiveness.

The factor values  $f_{2,1} = -\sqrt{2}$ ,  $f_{2,2} = 0$  for cereal  $e_2$  indicate a *below* average healthiness and an average cost effectiveness.

The factor values  $f_{3,1} = 0$ ,  $f_{3,2} = 0$  for cereal  $e_3$  indicate an average healthiness and an average cost effectiveness.

The factor values  $f_{4,1} = 0$ ,  $f_{4,2} = -\sqrt{2}$  for cereal  $e_4$  indicate an average healthiness and a *below average cost effectiveness*.

The factor values  $f_{5,1} = 0$ ,  $f_{5,2} = \sqrt{2}$  for cereal  $e_5$  indicate an average healthiness and an *above average cost effectiveness*.

These interpretations agree with the ratings given as data in our example.

#### Structural Equation Modeling

# Solutions to Topic 3: Introduction to Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)

Dr. Kerstin Hesse

Email: kerstin.hesse@hhl.de; Phone: +49 (0)341 9851-820; Office: HHL Main Building, Room 115A

HHL Leipzig Graduate School of Management, Jahnallee 59, 04109 Leipzig, Germany

Doctoral Program at HHL, June 1-2, 2012

# Ex. 3.1: Setting up the Structural (Inner) Model

A model for the *work of a software programmer on an non-pay-scale salary* is shown in the diagram below. *Indicate the various latent variables and the coefficients and error terms in the diagram*, using the rules explained on pages 71–72 of the lecture slides. For consistency, number any exogenous (or endogenous) latent variables from top to bottom. Finally write down the *equations for the structural (inner) model*.



# Ex. 3.1: Setting up the Structural (Inner) Model

<u>Solution</u>: Exogenous latent variables:  $\xi_1 = pay/salary$ ,  $\xi_2 = intelligence$ . Endogenous latent variables:  $\eta_1 = motivation$ ,  $\eta_2 = initiative$ .

We note that we have a *two-way relationship* between  $\eta_1$  = motivation and  $\eta_2$  = initiative; they influence *each other*.



Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

Solutions: Structural Equation Modeling

HHL, June 1-2, 2012

47 / 126

# Ex. 3.1: Setting up the Structural (Inner) Model

The structural (inner) model is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1 &= \beta_{1,2} \, \eta_2 + \gamma_{1,1} \, \xi_1 + \zeta_1 \\ \eta_2 &= \beta_{2,1} \, \eta_1 + \gamma_{2,1} \, \xi_1 + \gamma_{2,2} \, \xi_2 + \zeta_2 \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently in matrix notation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta_{1,2} \\ \beta_{2,1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{1,1} & 0 \\ \gamma_{2,1} & \gamma_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \end{pmatrix},$$
  
i.e.  $\eta = \mathbf{B} \, \eta + \mathbf{\Gamma} \, \boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\zeta}$  with

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\eta} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\zeta} = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\boldsymbol{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta_{1,2} \\ \beta_{2,1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{1,1} & 0 \\ \gamma_{2,1} & \gamma_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

#### Ex. 3.2: Reduced Model

Write down the *reduced model* for the structural (inner) model from Ex. 3.1.

Solution: In Ex. 3.1 we found the linear system

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}\eta_{1}\\\eta_{2}\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc}0&\beta_{1,2}\\\beta_{2,1}&0\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c}\eta_{1}\\\eta_{2}\end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c}\gamma_{1,1}&0\\\gamma_{2,1}&\gamma_{2,2}\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c}\xi_{1}\\\xi_{2}\end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c}\zeta_{1}\\\zeta_{2}\end{array}\right)$$

We subtract the first term on the right-hand side on both sides:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta_{1,2} \\ \beta_{2,1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{1,1} & 0 \\ \gamma_{2,1} & \gamma_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(7)

Next we transform the left-hand side in (7)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta_{1,2} \\ \beta_{2,1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta_{1,2} \\ \beta_{2,1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

Solutions: Structural Equation Modeling

#### Ex. 3.2: Reduced Model

$$= \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta_{1,2} \\ \beta_{2,1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta_{1,2} \\ \beta_{2,1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We substitute the result back into (7) and get

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta_{1,2} \\ \beta_{2,1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{= \mathbf{B}^*} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{1,1} & 0 \\ \gamma_{2,1} & \gamma_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

With

$$(\mathbf{B}^*)^{-1} = rac{1}{1-eta_{2,1}\,eta_{1,2}} \left( egin{array}{cc} 1 & -eta_{1,2} \ -eta_{2,1} & 1 \end{array} 
ight)$$

we now obtain the reduced model

$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} = (\mathbf{B}^*)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{1,1} & 0 \\ \gamma_{2,1} & \gamma_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix} + (\mathbf{B}^*)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

٠

# Ex. 3.3: Formative Measurement Model

Starting the numbering of the measurement variables at the top, indicate the *measurement variables, error terms* and *coefficients* in the following diagram of a *formative measurement model*. Then write down the *regression equation* for the exogenous latent variable  $\xi_2$ .



# Ex. 3.3: Formative Measurement Model

Solution: First we complete the diagram.



# Ex. 3.3: Formative Measurement Model

We note that we call the measurement variables  $X_i$  because the latent variable is an *exogenous* latent variable (indicated by its name  $\xi_2$ ).

(The numbering of the measurement variables is of course arbitrary; we could equally well have started from the bottom rather than from the top. However, a change in the numbering of the measurement variables will also result in a change of the indices of the path coefficients.)

Likewise we call the error term  $\delta_2$  since the latent variable  $\xi_2$  is *exogenous*.

Further we note that the coefficient between  $X_i$  and  $\xi_2$  is  $\lambda_{2i}^X$  because the arrow points from  $X_i$  to  $\xi_2$ . (*Notation*: first index of the coefficient = index of the variable that the arrow is pointing to; second index = index of the variable that the arrow originates at.)

*Regression equation* for the measurement model of the latent variable:

$$\xi_{2} = \lambda_{2,1}^{X} \left( X_{1} - \mu_{X_{1}} \right) + \lambda_{2,2}^{X} \left( X_{2} - \mu_{X_{2}} \right) + \lambda_{2,3}^{X} \left( X_{3} - \mu_{X_{3}} \right) + \lambda_{2,4}^{X} \left( X_{4} - \mu_{X_{4}} \right) + \delta_{2}$$

# Ex. 3.4: Reflective Measurement Model

The diagram below shows part of a structural equation model for the academic success of students. Numbering the measurement variables from the top to the bottom, complete the diagram of the reflective measurement model by indicating the *variables, error terms* and *coefficients*. Then write down the *factor analytic equations* for the measurement variables.



# Ex. 3.4: Reflective Measurement Model

Solution: First we complete the diagram.



### Ex. 3.4: Reflective Measurement Model

We note that we call the measurement variables  $X_i$  and call their error terms  $\delta_i$  because the latent variables are *exogenous* latent variables (as indicated by their names  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$ ).

Further we note that the coefficient between  $X_i$  and  $\xi_j$  is  $\lambda_{ij}^X$  because the arrow points from  $\xi_j$  to  $X_i$ . (*Notation*: first index of the coefficient = index of the variable that the arrow is pointing to; second index = index of the variable that the arrow originates at.)

*Factor analytical equations* for the measurement model of the latent variables:

$$X_{1} - \mu_{X_{1}} = \lambda_{1,1}^{X} \xi_{1} + \delta_{1}$$
  

$$X_{2} - \mu_{X_{2}} = \lambda_{2,1}^{X} \xi_{1} + \lambda_{2,2}^{X} \xi_{2} + \delta_{2}$$
  

$$X_{3} - \mu_{X_{3}} = \lambda_{3,2}^{X} \xi_{2} + \delta_{3}$$
  

$$X_{4} - \mu_{X_{4}} = \lambda_{4,2}^{X} \xi_{2} + \delta_{4}$$

Structural Equation Modeling

# Solutions to Topic 4: LISREL (Linear Structural Relationships)

Dr. Kerstin Hesse

Email: kerstin.hesse@hhl.de; Phone: +49 (0)341 9851-820; Office: HHL Main Building, Room 115A

HHL Leipzig Graduate School of Management, Jahnallee 59, 04109 Leipzig, Germany

Doctoral Program at HHL, June 1-2, 2012

The structural model for the *work of a software programmer on a non-pay-scale salary* (see Ex. 3.1) has now been equipped with the *reflective measurement models* for the latent variables shown below. *Indicate all variables, errors and coefficients in the diagram* and *write down the equations of the measurement models.* The ratings (apart from the IQ one) have been provided by the programmer's superior.



<u>Solution</u>: First we complete the diagram by indicating all variables, errors and coefficients.



Structural (inner) model (from Ex. 3.1):

$$\eta_1 = \beta_{1,2} \eta_2 + \gamma_{1,1} \xi_1 + \zeta_1$$
  
$$\eta_2 = \beta_{2,1} \eta_1 + \gamma_{2,1} \xi_1 + \gamma_{2,2} \xi_2 + \zeta_2$$

Measurement Models for the endogenous latent variables:

$$\begin{array}{l} Y_{1} - \mu_{Y_{1}} = \lambda_{1,1}^{Y} \eta_{1} + \varepsilon_{1} \\ Y_{2} - \mu_{Y_{2}} = \lambda_{2,1}^{Y} \eta_{1} + \varepsilon_{2} \end{array} \right\} \text{ measurement model for } \eta_{1} \\ Y_{3} - \mu_{Y_{3}} = \lambda_{3,2}^{Y} \eta_{2} + \varepsilon_{3} \\ Y_{4} - \mu_{Y_{4}} = \lambda_{4,2}^{Y} \eta_{2} + \varepsilon_{4} \end{array} \right\} \text{ measurement model for } \eta_{2} \end{array}$$

Measurement Models for the exogenous latent variables:

$$\begin{split} X_1 - \mu_{X_1} &= \lambda_{1,1}^X \, \xi_1 + \delta_1 \qquad (\text{measurement model for } \xi_1) \\ X_2 - \mu_{X_2} &= \lambda_{2,2}^X \, \xi_2 + \delta_2 \qquad (\text{measurement model for } \xi_2) \end{split}$$

We note that here we have only *reflective measurement* models.

Unlike in this example, the exogenous latent variables could (and usually will) also have *more than one* measurement variable.

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

Solutions: Structural Equation Modeling

Finally we write the models in *matrix notation*.

From Ex. 3.1 we find for the structural (inner) model:

$$\eta = \mathsf{B}\,\eta + \mathsf{\Gamma}\,\xi + \zeta$$

with

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\xi} &= \left( \begin{array}{c} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{array} \right), \qquad \boldsymbol{\eta} = \left( \begin{array}{c} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{array} \right), \qquad \boldsymbol{\zeta} = \left( \begin{array}{c} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \end{array} \right), \\ \boldsymbol{B} &= \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 & \beta_{1,2} \\ \beta_{2,1} & 0 \end{array} \right), \qquad \boldsymbol{\Gamma} = \left( \begin{array}{c} \gamma_{1,1} & 0 \\ \gamma_{2,1} & \gamma_{2,2} \end{array} \right). \end{split}$$

Explicitly, we have the matrix equation

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}\eta_1\\\eta_2\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc}0&\beta_{1,2}\\\beta_{2,1}&0\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c}\eta_1\\\eta_2\end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c}\gamma_{1,1}&0\\\gamma_{2,1}&\gamma_{2,2}\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c}\xi_1\\\xi_2\end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c}\zeta_1\\\zeta_2\end{array}\right).$$

For the *exogenous* latent variables, the *measurement model in matrix notation* reads:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} X_1\\ X_2 \end{array}\right) - \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu_{X_1}\\ \mu_{X_2} \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \lambda_{1,1}^X & 0\\ 0 & \lambda_{2,2}^X \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \xi_1\\ \xi_2 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} \delta_1\\ \delta_2 \end{array}\right)$$

or in shorter notation

$$\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{\Lambda}_X \, \mathbf{\xi} + \mathbf{\delta}$$

with

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{X_1} \\ \mu_{X_2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\xi} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\boldsymbol{\delta} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{X} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1,1}^X & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2,2}^X \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the *endogenous* latent variables, the *measurement model in matrix notation* reads:

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y_{1} \\ Y_{2} \\ Y_{3} \\ Y_{4} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{Y_{1}} \\ \mu_{Y_{2}} \\ \mu_{Y_{3}} \\ \mu_{Y_{4}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1,1}^{Y} & 0 \\ \lambda_{2,1}^{Y} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{3,2}^{Y} \\ 0 & \lambda_{4,2}^{Y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{1} \\ \eta_{2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{1} \\ \varepsilon_{2} \\ \varepsilon_{3} \\ \varepsilon_{4} \end{pmatrix}$$

.

or in shorter notation

$$\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{\Lambda}_{Y} \boldsymbol{\eta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$
with  $\boldsymbol{\eta} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{1} \\ \eta_{2} \end{pmatrix}$  and
$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{1} \\ Y_{2} \\ Y_{3} \\ Y_{4} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{y}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{Y_{1}} \\ \mu_{Y_{2}} \\ \mu_{Y_{3}} \\ \mu_{Y_{4}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{1} \\ \varepsilon_{2} \\ \varepsilon_{3} \\ \varepsilon_{4} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1,1}^{Y} & 0 \\ \lambda_{2,1}^{Y} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{3,2}^{Y} \\ 0 & \lambda_{4,2}^{Y} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

Solutions: Structural Equation Modeling

# Ex. 4.2: Empirical Covariance Matrix

Given the data below for the measurement variables  $X_1 =$  yearly salary in 1000 Euros,  $Y_1 =$  average hours of work per week,  $Y_2 =$  average number of lines of code per week (measured in units of 100 lines of code), for a software programmer on a non-pay-scale salary, compute the empirical covariance matrix **S**.

Programmer	$X_1$	$Y_1$	<i>Y</i> <sub>2</sub>
$e_1$	50	45	50
e <sub>2</sub>	60	55	55
e <sub>3</sub>	70	50	60

<u>Solution</u>: We start by computing the *means* of the data of the measurement variables:

$$\overline{x_1} = \frac{1}{3} (50 + 60 + 70) = \frac{180}{3} = 60,$$

#### Ex. 4.2: Empirical Covariance Matrix

$$\overline{y_1} = \frac{1}{3} \left( 45 + 55 + 50 \right) = \frac{150}{3} = 50,$$
  
$$\overline{y_2} = \frac{1}{3} \left( 50 + 55 + 60 \right) = \frac{165}{3} = 55.$$

Hence the expectation values  $\mu_{X_1}$ ,  $\mu_{Y_1}$  and  $\mu_{Y_2}$  are estimated by  $\overline{x_1} = 60$ ,  $\overline{y_1} = 50$  and  $\overline{y_2} = 55$ . Now we can write down the centered data matrix

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} - \overline{x_1} & y_{1,1} - \overline{y_1} & y_{1,2} - \overline{y_2} \\ x_{2,1} - \overline{x_1} & y_{2,1} - \overline{y_1} & y_{2,2} - \overline{y_2} \\ x_{3,1} - \overline{x_1} & y_{3,1} - \overline{y_1} & y_{3,2} - \overline{y_2} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 50 - 60 & 45 - 50 & 50 - 55 \\ 60 - 60 & 55 - 50 & 55 - 55 \\ 70 - 60 & 50 - 50 & 60 - 55 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -10 & -5 & -5 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

65 / 126

#### Ex. 4.2: Empirical Covariance Matrix

The empirical covariance matrix is given by:

$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{3-1} \mathbf{W}' \mathbf{W} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -10 & 0 & 10 \\ -5 & 5 & 0 \\ -5 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -10 & -5 & -5 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 100+0+100 & 50+0+0 & 50+0+50 \\ 50+0+0 & 25+25+0 & 25+0+0 \\ 50+0+50 & 25+0+0 & 25+0+25 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 200 & 50 & 100 \\ 50 & 50 & 25 \\ 100 & 25 & 50 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 100 & 25 & 50 \\ 25 & 25 & 12.5 \\ 50 & 12.5 & 25 \end{pmatrix}$$

To demonstrate the solution of a structural equation model with *LISREL*, we consider the simplified model for the *work of a software programmer on a non-pay-scale salary* shown in the diagram below.



- Set up the structural equation model by specifying the *structural* (*inner*) *model* and the *measurement model*.
- Oetermine with the LISREL approach the model parameters in terms of the covariances of the measurement variables.
- Use the empirical covariance matrix from Ex. 4.2 to compute the numerical values for the parameters and interpret your results.

Solution: The structural (inner) model consists here of the one equation:

$$\eta_1 = \gamma_{1,1}\,\xi_1 + \zeta_1 \tag{8}$$

The measurement model consists of the three equations:

$$X_1 - \mu_{X_1} = \xi_1$$
 (since  $\delta_1 = 0$  and  $\lambda_{1,1}^X = 1$ ) (9)

$$Y_1 - \mu_{Y_1} = \eta_1 + \varepsilon_1$$
 (sine  $\lambda_{1,1}^Y = 1$ ) (10)

$$Y_2 - \mu_{Y_2} = \lambda_{2,1}^Y \eta_1 + \varepsilon_2 \tag{11}$$

We note that  $\delta_1 = 0$  and  $\lambda_{1,1}^X = 1$  are chosen because the latent variable  $\xi_1 =$  salary is measured directly and without error (hence  $\delta_1 = 0$ ). Hence  $\xi_1$  automatically has a scale. The choice  $\lambda_{1,1}^Y = 1$  however, is simply made to give the latent variable  $\eta_1$  a scale.

Apart from these equations we are given the information that the error terms  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  of  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$ , respectively, are uncorrelated, since

$$\theta_{1,2}^{\varepsilon} = \operatorname{Cov}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) = 0.$$
(12)

We have q = 1 measurement variables  $X_1$  for  $\xi_1$ , and we have p = 2 measurement variables  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  for  $\eta_1$ . Hence we get

$$\frac{(p+q)(p+q+1)}{2} = \frac{(2+1)(2+1+1)}{2} = \frac{12}{2} = 6$$

different entries in the covariance matrix of the measurement variables. These *6 different entries in the covariance matrix* are the *variances* 

$$Var(X_1), Var(Y_1)$$
 and  $Var(Y_2),$  (13)

and the *covariances* 

$$Cov(X_1, Y_1), Cov(X_1, Y_2)$$
 and  $Cov(Y_1, Y_2).$  (14)

Inspecting our model (see the diagram) we find that we have also  $\delta$  *unknown model parameters*: From the structural (inner) model we have the parameters

$$\gamma_{1,1}, \qquad \phi_{1,1} = \mathsf{Var}(\xi_1) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \psi_{1,1} = \mathsf{Var}(\zeta_1)$$

and from the (outer) measurement model we have the parameters

$$\lambda_{2,1}^{X}, \qquad \theta_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} = \mathsf{Var}(\varepsilon_1) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \theta_{2,2}^{\varepsilon} = \mathsf{Var}(\varepsilon_2).$$

Normally we would also have to consider the parameter  $\theta_{1,1}^{\delta} = Var(\delta_1)$ , but since  $\delta_1 = 0$  (as  $\xi_1$  is measured exactly) we clearly have

$$\theta_{1,1}^{\delta} = \mathsf{Var}(\delta_1) = 0. \tag{15}$$

As we have 6 unknown model parameters and also 6 different entries in the covariance matrix, *our LISREL model could be identifiable*.

Next we use the equations (8) to (11), as well as the additional information from (12) and (15), to compute the entries (13) and (14) of the covariance matrix in terms of the model parameters.

Afterwards we will try to solve these 6 equations for the model parameters.

Before we start to compute the variances (13) and the covariances (14), we remember the assumptions from the LISREL model for our concrete example:

$$E(\xi_1) = 0,$$
  $E(\eta_1) = 0,$   $Cov(\xi_1, \zeta_1) = 0,$  (16)

$$E(\zeta_1) = 0, \qquad E(\varepsilon_1) = 0, \qquad E(\varepsilon_2) = 0, \qquad (17)$$
$$Cov(\varepsilon_1, \eta_1) = 0, \qquad Cov(\varepsilon_2, \eta_1) = 0, \qquad Cov(\varepsilon_1, \xi_1) = 0, \qquad (18)$$

$$\operatorname{Cov}(\varepsilon_2, \eta_1) = 0, \qquad \operatorname{Cov}(\varepsilon_1, \xi_1) = 0, \qquad (18)$$

$$\operatorname{Cov}(\varepsilon_2,\xi_1)=0,$$
  $\operatorname{Cov}(\varepsilon_1,\zeta_1)=0,$   $\operatorname{Cov}(\varepsilon_2,\zeta_1)=0,$  (19)

From (9) we have

$$\mathsf{Var}(X_1) = \mathsf{E}([X_1 - \mu_{X_1}]^2) = \mathsf{E}(\xi_1^2) = \mathsf{E}([\xi_1 - \underbrace{\mathsf{E}(\xi_1)}_{=0}]^2) = \mathsf{Var}(\xi_1) = \phi_{1,1},$$

where we have used the first equation in (16) in the second last step. This identifies the model parameter  $\phi_{1,1} = Var(\xi_1)$  uniquely:

$$\phi_{1,1} = \operatorname{Var}(\xi_1) = \operatorname{Var}(X_1).$$
 (20)

#### From (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Var}(Y_1) &= \mathsf{E}\big([Y_1 - \mu_{Y_1}]^2\big) = \mathsf{E}\big([\eta_1 + \varepsilon_1]^2\big) = \mathsf{E}\big(\eta_1^2 + 2\eta_1\,\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_1^2\big) \\ &= \mathsf{E}(\eta_1^2) + 2\,\mathsf{E}(\eta_1\,\varepsilon_1) + \mathsf{E}(\varepsilon_1^2) \\ &= \mathsf{E}\big([\eta_1 - \underbrace{\mathsf{E}(\eta_1)}_{=0}]^2\big) + 2\,\mathsf{E}\big([\eta_1 - \underbrace{\mathsf{E}(\eta_1)}_{=0}]\,[\varepsilon_1 - \underbrace{\mathsf{E}(\varepsilon_1)}_{=0}]\big) + \mathsf{E}\big([\varepsilon_1 - \underbrace{\mathsf{E}(\varepsilon_1)}_{=0}]^2\big) \\ &= \mathsf{Var}(\eta_1) + 2\,\underbrace{\mathsf{Cov}(\eta_1,\varepsilon_1)}_{=0} + \mathsf{Var}(\varepsilon_1) \\ &= \mathsf{Var}(\eta_1) + \mathsf{Var}(\varepsilon_1) = \mathsf{Var}(\eta_1) + \theta_{1,1}^\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the linearity of the expectation value and the assumptions (16) to (18). So we have found the equation

$$\operatorname{Var}(Y_1) = \operatorname{Var}(\eta_1) + \theta_{1,1}^{\varepsilon}$$
(21)

which contains an additional unknown  $Var(\eta_1)$  that we need to eliminate when we determine our parameters.

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)
#### From (11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Var}(Y_{2}) &= \mathsf{E}([Y_{2} - \mu_{Y_{2}}]^{2}) = \mathsf{E}([\lambda_{2,1}^{Y} \eta_{1} + \varepsilon_{2}]^{2}) \\ &= \mathsf{E}((\lambda_{2,1}^{Y})^{2} \eta_{1}^{2} + 2\lambda_{2,1}^{Y} \eta_{1} \varepsilon_{2} + \varepsilon_{2}^{2}) \\ &= (\lambda_{2,1}^{Y})^{2} \mathsf{E}(\eta_{1}^{2}) + 2\lambda_{2,1}^{Y} \mathsf{E}(\eta_{1} \varepsilon_{2}) + \mathsf{E}(\varepsilon_{2}^{2}) \\ &= (\lambda_{2,1}^{Y})^{2} \mathsf{E}([\eta_{1} - \underbrace{\mathsf{E}(\eta_{1})}_{=0}]^{2}) + 2\lambda_{2,1}^{Y} \mathsf{E}([\eta_{1} - \underbrace{\mathsf{E}(\eta_{1})}_{=0}][\varepsilon_{2} - \underbrace{\mathsf{E}(\varepsilon_{2})}_{=0}]) \\ &+ \mathsf{E}([\varepsilon_{2} - \underbrace{\mathsf{E}(\varepsilon_{2})}_{=0}]^{2}) \\ &= (\lambda_{2,1}^{Y})^{2} \mathsf{Var}(\eta_{1}) + 2\lambda_{2,1}^{Y} \underbrace{\mathsf{Cov}(\eta_{1}, \varepsilon_{2})}_{=0} + \mathsf{Var}(\varepsilon_{2}) \\ &= (\lambda_{2,1}^{Y})^{2} \mathsf{Var}(\eta_{1}) + \mathsf{Var}(\varepsilon_{2}) = (\lambda_{2,1}^{Y})^{2} \mathsf{Var}(\eta_{1}) + \theta_{2,2}^{\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the linearity of the expectation value and the assumptions (16) to (18).

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

HHL, June 1-2, 2012 73 / 126

So we have found the equation

$$\operatorname{Var}(Y_2) = (\lambda_{2,1}^Y)^2 \operatorname{Var}(\eta_1) + \theta_{2,2}^{\varepsilon}$$
(22)

which also contains the additional unknown  $Var(\eta_1)$  that we need to eliminate when we determine our parameters.

From (9) and (10) we have

$$Cov(X_{1}, Y_{1}) = E([X_{1} - \mu_{X_{1}}][Y_{1} - \mu_{Y_{1}}]) = E(\xi_{1} [\eta_{1} + \varepsilon_{1}])$$
  
=  $E(\xi_{1} \eta_{1} + \xi_{1} \varepsilon_{1}) = E(\xi_{1} \eta_{1}) + E(\xi_{1} \varepsilon_{1})$   
=  $E([\xi_{1} - \underbrace{E(\xi_{1})}_{=0}][\eta_{1} - \underbrace{E(\eta_{1})}_{=0}]) + E([\xi_{1} - \underbrace{E(\xi_{1})}_{=0}][\varepsilon_{1} - \underbrace{E(\varepsilon_{1})}_{=0}])$   
=  $Cov(\xi_{1}, \eta_{1}) + \underbrace{Cov(\xi_{1}, \varepsilon_{1})}_{=0} = Cov(\xi_{1}, \eta_{1}),$ 

where we have used the linearity of the expectation value and the assumptions (16) to (18).

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

So we have found the equation

$$Cov(X_1, Y_1) = Cov(\xi_1, \eta_1),$$
 (23)

with the unknown  $Cov(\xi_1, \eta_1)$  that we still need to eliminate when we determine the model parameters.

From (9) and (11) we find

$$Cov(X_{1}, Y_{2}) = E([X_{1} - \mu_{X_{1}}][Y_{1} - \mu_{Y_{2}}]) = E(\xi_{1} [\lambda_{2,1}^{Y} \eta_{1} + \varepsilon_{2}])$$
  
=  $E(\lambda_{2,1}^{Y} \xi_{1} \eta_{1} + \xi_{1} \varepsilon_{2}) = \lambda_{2,1}^{Y} E(\xi_{1} \eta_{1}) + E(\xi_{1} \varepsilon_{2})$   
=  $\lambda_{2,1}^{Y} E([\xi_{1} - \underbrace{E(\xi_{1})}_{=0}][\eta_{1} - \underbrace{E(\eta_{1})}_{=0}]) + E([\xi_{1} - \underbrace{E(\xi_{1})}_{=0}][\varepsilon_{2} - \underbrace{E(\varepsilon_{2})}_{=0}])$   
=  $\lambda_{2,1}^{Y} Cov(\xi_{1}, \eta_{1}) + \underbrace{Cov(\xi_{1}, \varepsilon_{2})}_{=0} = \lambda_{2,1}^{Y} Cov(\xi_{1}, \eta_{1}),$ 

where we have used the linearity of the expectation value and the assumptions (16), (17) and (19).

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

Solutions: Structural Equation Modeling

So we have found the equation

$$Cov(X_1, Y_2) = \lambda_{2,1}^Y Cov(\xi_1, \eta_1),$$
 (24)

with the unknown  $Cov(\xi_1, \eta_1)$  that we still need to eliminate when we determine the model parameters.

From (10) and (11) we find

$$Cov(Y_1, Y_2) = E([Y_1 - \mu_{Y_1}][Y_2 - \mu_{Y_2}]) = E([\eta_1 + \varepsilon_1][\lambda_{2,1}^{Y}\eta_1 + \varepsilon_2])$$
  

$$= E(\lambda_{2,1}^{Y}\eta_1^2 + \eta_1 \varepsilon_2 + \lambda_{2,1}^{Y} \varepsilon_1 \eta_1 + \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2)$$
  

$$= \lambda_{2,1}^{Y}E(\eta_1^2) + E(\eta_1 \varepsilon_2) + \lambda_{2,1}^{Y}E(\varepsilon_1 \eta_1) + E(\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2)$$
  

$$= \lambda_{2,1}^{Y}E([\eta_1 - \underbrace{E(\eta_1)}]^2) + E([\eta_1 - \underbrace{E(\eta_1)}][\varepsilon_2 - \underbrace{E(\varepsilon_2)}])$$
  

$$+ \lambda_{2,1}^{Y}E([\varepsilon_1 - \underbrace{E(\varepsilon_1)}][\eta_1 - \underbrace{E(\eta_1)}]) + E([\varepsilon_1 - \underbrace{E(\varepsilon_1)}][\varepsilon_2 - \underbrace{E(\varepsilon_2)}])$$

$$Cov(Y_1, Y_2) = \lambda_{2,1}^{Y} Var(\eta_1) + \underbrace{Cov(\eta_1, \varepsilon_2)}_{= 0} + \lambda_{2,1}^{Y} \underbrace{Cov(\varepsilon_1, \eta_1)}_{= 0} + \underbrace{Cov(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)}_{= \theta_{1,2}^{\varepsilon} = 0}$$
$$= \lambda_{2,1}^{Y} Var(\eta_1),$$

where we have used the linearity of the expectation value, the condition (12) and the assumptions (16) to (18). So we have found the equation

$$\operatorname{Cov}(Y_1, Y_2) = \lambda_{2,1}^{Y} \operatorname{Var}(\eta_1), \tag{25}$$

with the unknown  $Var(\eta_1)$  that we still need to eliminate when we determine the model parameters.

We summarize the 6 equations for the covariance of the measurement variables on the next slide.

Then use equation (8) from the structural (inner) model to first compute and then eliminate the unknowns  $Var(\eta_1)$  and  $Cov(\xi_1, \eta_1)$ .

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

From (20) to (25) we have the 6 equations:

$$\operatorname{Var}(X_1) = \phi_{1,1} \tag{26}$$

$$\operatorname{Var}(Y_1) = \operatorname{Var}(\eta_1) + \theta_{1,1}^{\varepsilon}$$
(27)

$$\operatorname{Var}(Y_2) = (\lambda_{2,1}^Y)^2 \operatorname{Var}(\eta_1) + \theta_{2,2}^{\varepsilon}$$
(28)

$$Cov(X_1, Y_1) = Cov(\xi_1, \eta_1)$$
<sup>(29)</sup>

$$Cov(X_1, Y_2) = \lambda_{2,1}^{Y} Cov(\xi_1, \eta_1)$$
 (30)

$$\operatorname{Cov}(Y_1, Y_2) = \lambda_{2,1}^{Y} \operatorname{Var}(\eta_1)$$
(31)

Next we use the equation (8) from the structural (inner) model to compute  $Var(\eta_1)$  and  $Cov(\xi_1, \eta_1)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Var}(\eta_1) &= \mathsf{E}\big([\eta_1 - \underbrace{\mathsf{E}(\eta_1)}_{=0}]^2\big) = \mathsf{E}(\eta_1^2) = \mathsf{E}\big([\gamma_{1,1}\,\xi_1 + \zeta_1]^2\big) \\ &= \mathsf{E}\big(\gamma_{1,1}^2\,\xi_1^2 + 2\,\gamma_{1,1}\,\xi_1\,\zeta_1 + \zeta_1^2\big) \\ &= \gamma_{1,1}^2\,\mathsf{E}(\xi_1^2) + 2\,\gamma_{1,1}\,\mathsf{E}(\xi_1\,\zeta_1) + \mathsf{E}(\zeta_1^2) \\ &= \gamma_{1,1}^2\,\mathsf{E}\big([\xi_1 - \underbrace{\mathsf{E}(\xi_1)}_{=0}]^2\big) + 2\,\gamma_{1,1}\,\mathsf{E}\big([\xi_1 - \underbrace{\mathsf{E}(\xi_1)}_{=0}]\,[\zeta_1 - \underbrace{\mathsf{E}(\zeta_1)}_{=0}]\big) \\ &+ \mathsf{E}\big([\zeta - \underbrace{\mathsf{E}(\zeta_1)}_{=\phi_{1,1}}]^2\big) \\ &= \gamma_{1,1}^2\,\underbrace{\mathsf{Var}(\xi_1)}_{=\phi_{1,1}} + 2\,\gamma_{1,1}\,\underbrace{\mathsf{Cov}(\xi_1,\zeta_1)}_{=0} + \underbrace{\mathsf{Var}(\zeta_1)}_{=\psi_{1,1}} \\ &= \gamma_{1,1}^2\,\phi_{1,1} + \psi_{1,1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the linearity of the expectation value and the assumptions (16) and (17).

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

Solutions: Structural Equation Modeling

$$Cov(\xi_{1}, \eta_{1}) = E([\xi_{1} - \underbrace{E(\xi_{1})}_{=0}][\eta_{1} - \underbrace{E(\eta_{1})}_{=0}]) = E(\xi_{1} \eta_{1})$$

$$= E(\xi_{1}[\gamma_{1,1}\xi_{1} + \zeta_{1}]) = E(\gamma_{1,1}\xi_{1}^{2} + \xi_{1}\zeta_{1}) = \gamma_{1,1}E(\xi_{1}^{2}) + E(\xi_{1}\zeta_{1})$$

$$= \gamma_{1,1}E([\xi_{1} - \underbrace{E(\xi_{1})}_{=0}]^{2}) + E([\xi_{1} - \underbrace{E(\xi_{1})}_{=0}][\zeta_{1} - \underbrace{E(\zeta_{1})}_{=0}])$$

$$= \gamma_{1,1}\underbrace{Var(\xi_{1})}_{=\phi_{1,1}} + \underbrace{Cov(\xi_{1},\zeta_{1})}_{=0} = \gamma_{1,1}\phi_{1,1},$$

where we have used the linearity of the expectation value and the assumptions (16) and (17).

So in addition to (26) to (31), we have found:

$$Var(\eta_1) = \gamma_{1,1}^2 \phi_{1,1} + \psi_{1,1}$$
 (32)

$$Cov(\xi_1, \eta_1) = \gamma_{1,1} \phi_{1,1}$$
 (33)

We have 8 equations (26) to (33) and 8 unknown parameters  $\gamma_{1,1}$ ,  $\phi_{1,1}$ ,  $\psi_{1,1}$ ,  $\lambda_{2,1}^{Y}$ ,  $\theta_{1,1}^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $\theta_{2,2}^{\varepsilon}$  and  $Var(\eta_1)$ ,  $Cov(\xi_1, \eta_1)$ . First we note that from (26)

$$\phi_{1,1} = \mathsf{Var}(X_1). \tag{34}$$

Then we substitute the expression for  $Cov(\xi_1, \eta_1)$  from (33) into (29) and subsequently use (34)

$$Cov(X_1, Y_1) = \gamma_{1,1} \phi_{1,1} = \gamma_{1,1} Var(X_1).$$

Hence, we get

$$\gamma_{1,1} = \frac{\text{Cov}(X_1, Y_1)}{\text{Var}(X_1)}.$$
 (35)

Then we substitute in (30)  $Cov(\xi_1, \eta_1)$  by (29) and get

$$\mathsf{Cov}(X_1, Y_2) = \lambda_{2,1}^{Y} \mathsf{Cov}(X_1, Y_1).$$

Hence, we get

$$\lambda_{2,1}^{Y} = \frac{\text{Cov}(X_1, Y_2)}{\text{Cov}(X_1, Y_1)}.$$
(36)

Next we substitute  $Var(\eta_1)$  in (31) by (32)

$$\mathsf{Cov}(Y_1, Y_2) = \lambda_{2,1}^{Y} \left[ \gamma_{1,1}^2 \,\phi_{1,1} + \psi_{1,1} \right] = \lambda_{2,1}^{Y} \,\gamma_{1,1}^2 \,\phi_{1,1} + \lambda_{2,1}^{Y} \,\psi_{1,1}$$

and solve for  $\psi_{1,1}$ 

$$\psi_{1,1} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{2,1}^{Y}} \left[ \mathsf{Cov}(Y_1, Y_2) - \lambda_{2,1}^{Y} \gamma_{1,1}^2 \phi_{1,1} \right] = \frac{\mathsf{Cov}(Y_1, Y_2)}{\lambda_{2,1}^{Y}} - \gamma_{1,1}^2 \phi_{1,1}.$$
(37)

Now we use (34), (35) and (36) to eliminate all the other parameters in (37):

$$\psi_{1,1} = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X_1, Y_1)}{\operatorname{Cov}(X_1, Y_2)} \operatorname{Cov}(Y_1, Y_2) - \left(\frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X_1, Y_1)}{\operatorname{Var}(X_1)}\right)^2 \operatorname{Var}(X_1),$$

and simplifying we find

$$\psi_{1,1} = \frac{\mathsf{Cov}(X_1, Y_1)}{\mathsf{Cov}(X_1, Y_2)} \,\mathsf{Cov}(Y_1, Y_2) - \frac{[\mathsf{Cov}(X_1, Y_1)]^2}{\mathsf{Var}(X_1)}.$$
 (38)

Next we solve (27) for  $\theta_{1,1}^{\varepsilon}$  and subsequently substitute Var $(\eta)$  by (32)

$$\theta_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} = \operatorname{Var}(Y_1) - \operatorname{Var}(\eta_1).$$
(39)

We note that from rearranging (31)

$$\operatorname{Var}(\eta_1) = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(Y_1, Y_2)}{\lambda_{2,1}^Y}.$$
(40)

Substituting (40) and subsequently (36) into (39) yields

$$\theta_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} = \mathsf{Var}(Y_1) - \frac{\mathsf{Cov}(Y_1, Y_2)}{\lambda_{2,1}^Y} = \mathsf{Var}(Y_1) - \frac{\mathsf{Cov}(Y_1, Y_2) \mathsf{Cov}(X_1, Y_1)}{\mathsf{Cov}(X_1, Y_2)},$$

and hence

$$\theta_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} = \operatorname{Var}(Y_1) - \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(Y_1, Y_2) \operatorname{Cov}(X_1, Y_1)}{\operatorname{Cov}(X_1, Y_2)}.$$
(41)

Finally from solving (28) for  $\theta_{2,2}^{\varepsilon}$  we get

$$\theta_{2,2}^{\varepsilon} = \operatorname{Var}(Y_2) - (\lambda_{2,1}^{Y})^2 \operatorname{Var}(\eta_1).$$
(42)

Substituting (40) into (42) yields

$$\theta_{2,2}^{\varepsilon} = \mathsf{Var}(Y_2) - (\lambda_{2,1}^{Y})^2 \, \frac{\mathsf{Cov}(Y_1, Y_2)}{\lambda_{2,1}^{Y}} = \mathsf{Var}(Y_2) - \lambda_{2,1}^{Y} \, \mathsf{Cov}(Y_1, Y_2),$$

and finally substituting  $\lambda_{2,1}^{Y}$  buy (36) yields

$$\theta_{2,2}^{\varepsilon} = \operatorname{Var}(Y_2) - \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X_1, Y_2) \operatorname{Cov}(Y_1, Y_2)}{\operatorname{Cov}(X_1, Y_1)}.$$
(43)

# We summarize the formulas (34), (35), (36), (38), (41) and (43) that identify the 6 model parameters:

 $\phi_{1,1} = \operatorname{Var}(X_1) \tag{44}$ 

$$\gamma_{1,1} = \frac{\text{Cov}(X_1, Y_1)}{\text{Var}(X_1)}$$
 (45)

$$\lambda_{2,1}^{Y} = \frac{\text{Cov}(X_1, Y_2)}{\text{Cov}(X_1, Y_1)}$$
(46)

$$\psi_{1,1} = \frac{\mathsf{Cov}(X_1, Y_1)}{\mathsf{Cov}(X_1, Y_2)} \mathsf{Cov}(Y_1, Y_2) - \frac{[\mathsf{Cov}(X_1, Y_1)]^2}{\mathsf{Var}(X_1)}$$
(47)

$$\theta_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} = \operatorname{Var}(Y_1) - \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(Y_1, Y_2) \operatorname{Cov}(X_1, Y_1)}{\operatorname{Cov}(X_1, Y_2)}$$
(48)

$$\theta_{2,2}^{\varepsilon} = \operatorname{Var}(Y_2) - \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X_1, Y_2) \operatorname{Cov}(Y_1, Y_2)}{\operatorname{Cov}(X_1, Y_1)}$$
(49)

Finally the empirical covariance matrix from Ex. 4.2 is given by

$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{Var}(X_1) & \widehat{Cov}(X_1, Y_1) & \widehat{Cov}(X_1, Y_2) \\ \widehat{Cov}(Y_1, X_1) & \widehat{Var}(Y_1) & \widehat{Cov}(Y_1, Y_2) \\ \widehat{Cov}(Y_2, X_1) & \widehat{Cov}(Y_2, Y_1) & \widehat{Var}(Y_2) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 100 & 25 & 50 \\ 25 & 25 & 12.5 \\ 50 & 12.5 & 25 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the variances and covariances are estimated by:

$$\widehat{\text{Var}}(X_1) = 100, \quad \widehat{\text{Var}}(Y_1) = 25, \quad \widehat{\text{Var}}(Y_2) = 25, \quad (50)$$

$$\widehat{\text{Cov}}(X_1, Y_1) = 25, \quad \widehat{\text{Cov}}(X_1, Y_2) = 50, \quad \widehat{\text{Cov}}(Y_1, Y_2) = 12.5. \quad (51)$$

Substituting the estimated values of the variances and covariances in (50) and (51) into (44) to (49) yields:

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

$$\begin{split} \phi_{1,1} &= 100 \\ \gamma_{1,1} &= \frac{25}{100} = \frac{1}{4} = 0.25 \\ \lambda_{2,1}^{Y} &= \frac{50}{12.5} = 2 \\ \psi_{1,1} &= \frac{25 \cdot 12.5}{50} - \frac{(25)^2}{100} = 6.25 - \frac{25}{4} = 6.25 - 6.25 = 0 \\ \theta_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} &= 25 - \frac{12.5 \cdot 25}{50} = 25 - 6.25 = 18.75 \\ \theta_{2,2}^{\varepsilon} &= 25 - \frac{50 \cdot 12.5}{25} = 25 - 25 = 0 \end{split}$$

Inspecting the model parameters briefly, we note that we have no negative variances, since  $\phi_{1,1} = \text{Var}(\xi_1) = 100$ ,  $\psi_{1,1} = \text{Var}(\zeta_1) = 0$ ,  $\theta_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} = \text{Var}(\varepsilon_1) = 18.75$  and  $\theta_{2,2}^{\varepsilon} = 0$ . So this makes sense.

Next we inspect the path coefficients  $\gamma_{1,1} = 0.25$  and  $\lambda_{2,1}^{Y} = 2$  which are both positive. This makes sense, as our logical considerations tell us:

- The higher the salary, the higher we expect the motivation of the software programmer to be. Hence, γ<sub>1,1</sub> should be positive.
- The higher the motivation of the software programmer, the more lines of code we expect him to write per week. Hence,  $\lambda_{2,1}^{Y}$  should be positive.

So our LISREL model result coincides with our logical considerations.

Now the model would have to be tested with model quality criteria that are beyond the scope of this course. Also, its is clear that our sample size was much to small to give representative results.

#### Structural Equation Modeling

# Solutions to Topic 5: PLS Path Modeling (Partial Least Squares Path Modeling)

Dr. Kerstin Hesse

Email: kerstin.hesse@hhl.de; Phone: +49 (0)341 9851-820; Office: HHL Main Building, Room 115A

HHL Leipzig Graduate School of Management, Jahnallee 59, 04109 Leipzig, Germany

Doctoral Program at HHL, June 1-2, 2012

For the SEM in the example on pages 125–127 (which describes the work of a software programmer on a non-pay-scale salary) we were given the *SEM diagram* (in PLS notation) below



and we found the model equations:

 $\xi_2 = \beta_{2,1} \xi_1 + \zeta_1$  for the structural (inner) model, (52)

and

$$X_{1}^{(1)} - \mu_{X_{1}^{(1)}} = \lambda_{1,1} \xi_{1} + \delta_{1}^{(1)} \text{ for the measurement block for } \xi_{1} \quad (53)$$

$$X_{1}^{(2)} - \mu_{X_{1}^{(2)}} = \lambda_{1,2} \xi_{2} + \delta_{1}^{(2)} \\ X_{2}^{(2)} - \mu_{X_{2}^{(2)}} = \lambda_{2,2} \xi_{2} + \delta_{2}^{(2)} \end{cases} \text{ for the measurement block for } \xi_{2} \quad (54)$$

Now we are given the following data

Programmer	$X_{1}^{(1)}$	$X_1^{(2)}$	$X_2^{(2)}$
e <sub>1</sub>	50	45	50
e <sub>2</sub>	60	55	55
e <sub>3</sub>	70	50	60

for the measurement variables:  $X_1^{(1)}$  = yearly salary in 1000 Euros,  $X_1^{(2)}$  = average hours of work per week,  $X_2^{(2)}$  = average number of lines of code per week (measured in units of 100 lines of code). Using equal weights as the initial weights, execute step 1 of the PLS algorithm.

<u>Solution</u>: As a preparation we compute the values of the centered data: Measurement Block 1 for  $\xi_1$ : We have the mean

$$\overline{x_1^{(1)}} = \frac{1}{3} \left( 50 + 60 + 70 \right) = \frac{180}{3} = 60,$$

and hence the *centered data for*  $X_1^{(1)}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x_{1,1}^{(1)} - \overline{x_1^{(1)}} &= 50 - 60 = -10, \\ x_{2,1}^{(1)} - \overline{x_1^{(1)}} &= 60 - 60 = 0, \\ x_{3,1}^{(1)} - \overline{x_1^{(1)}} &= 70 - 60 = 10. \end{aligned}$$
(55)

Measurement Block 2 for  $\xi_2$ : We have the means  $\overline{x_1^{(2)}} = \frac{1}{3} (45 + 55 + 50) = \frac{150}{3} = 50, \quad \overline{x_2^{(2)}} = \frac{1}{3} (50 + 55 + 60) = \frac{165}{3} = 55.$ Hence the centered data for  $X_1^{(2)}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x_{1,1}^{(2)} - x_1^{(2)} &= 45 - 50 = -5, \\ x_{2,1}^{(2)} - \overline{x_1^{(2)}} &= 55 - 50 = 5, \\ x_{3,1}^{(2)} - \overline{x_1^{(2)}} &= 50 - 50 = 0, \end{aligned}$$
(56)

and the *centered data for*  $X_2^{(2)}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x_{1,2}^{(2)} &- \overline{x_2^{(2)}} &= 50 - 55 = -5, \\ x_{2,2}^{(2)} &- \overline{x_2^{(2)}} &= 55 - 55 = 0, \\ x_{3,2}^{(2)} &- \overline{x_2^{(2)}} &= 60 - 55 = 5. \end{aligned}$$
(57)

Next we determine the *initial equal weights*:

Weights for measurement Block 1 for  $\xi_1$ :  $w_1^{(1)} = 1$  (58) Weights for measurement Block 2 for  $\xi_2$ :  $w_1^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $w_2^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}$  (59)

Step 1, Block 1: First we compute the data for  $\eta_1$ . Using (58) and (55)

$$\eta_{1,1} = \pm w_1^{(1)} \left( x_{1,1}^{(1)} - \overline{x_1^{(1)}} \right) = \pm 1 \cdot (-10) = \mp 10,$$
  

$$\eta_{2,1} = \pm w_1^{(1)} \left( x_{2,1}^{(1)} - \overline{x_1^{(1)}} \right) = \pm 1 \cdot 0 = 0,$$
  

$$\eta_{3,1} = \pm w_1^{(1)} \left( x_{3,1}^{(1)} - \overline{x_1^{(1)}} \right) = \pm 1 \cdot 10 = \pm 10.$$
(60)

We note that here we have no summation as  $\xi_1$  has only one measurement variable and hence there is only one term (in the sum) in the formula for computing the values  $\eta_{nq}$  for  $\eta_q$ .

Next we estimate the covariance  $Cov(\eta_1, X_1^{(1)})$  from the the data (60) and (55) in oder to choose the correct sign in (60). We note that  $\overline{\eta_1} = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Cov}(\eta_{1}, X_{1}^{(1)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{3-1} \left[ \eta_{1,1} \left( x_{1,1}^{(1)} - \overline{x_{1}^{(1)}} \right) + \eta_{2,1} \left( x_{2,1}^{(1)} - \overline{x_{1}^{(1)}} \right) + \eta_{3,1} \left( x_{3,1}^{(1)} - \overline{x_{1}^{(1)}} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\mp 10) \cdot (-10) + 0 \cdot 0 + (\pm 10) \cdot (10) \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \pm 100 + (\pm 100) \right] = \pm 100. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the estimated correlation is positive if we choose the plus sign in (60), and then we have

$$\eta_{1,1} = -10, \qquad \eta_{2,1} = 0, \qquad \eta_{3,1} = 10.$$
 (61)

The data (61) of  $\eta_1$  has already mean  $\overline{\eta_1} = 0$  and we compute its standard deviation

$$s_{\eta_1} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\eta_{1,1}^2 + \eta_{2,1}^2 + \eta_{3,1}^2)} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}((-10)^2 + 0^2 + 10^2)} = \sqrt{100} = 10.$$

(1)

Thus the data of the estimator  $\widehat{\xi_1}$  of  $\xi_1$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{1,1} &= \frac{\eta_{1,1} - \overline{\eta_1}}{s_{\eta_1}} = \frac{-10}{10} = -1, \\ \xi_{2,1} &= \frac{\eta_{2,1} - \overline{\eta_1}}{s_{\eta_1}} = \frac{0}{10} = 0, \\ \xi_{3,1} &= \frac{\eta_{3,1} - \overline{\eta_1}}{s_{\eta_1}} = \frac{10}{10} = 1. \end{aligned}$$
(62)

Step 1, Block 2: First we compute the data for  $\eta_2$ . Using (59), (56) and (57), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{1,2} &= \pm \left[ w_1^{(2)} \left( x_{1,1}^{(2)} - \overline{x_1^{(2)}} \right) + w_2^{(2)} \left( x_{1,2}^{(2)} - \overline{x_2^{(2)}} \right) \right] \\ &= \pm \left[ \frac{1}{2} \cdot (-5) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot (-5) \right] = \mp 5, \end{aligned}$$
(63)  
$$\eta_{2,2} &= \pm \left[ w_1^{(2)} \left( x_{2,1}^{(2)} - \overline{x_1^{(2)}} \right) + w_2^{(2)} \left( x_{2,2}^{(2)} - \overline{x_2^{(2)}} \right) \right] = \pm \left[ \frac{1}{2} \cdot 5 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0 \right] = \pm \frac{5}{2}, \end{aligned}$$
$$\eta_{3,2} &= \pm \left[ w_1^{(2)} \left( x_{3,1}^{(2)} - \overline{x_1^{(2)}} \right) + w_2^{(2)} \left( x_{3,2}^{(2)} - \overline{x_2^{(2)}} \right) \right] = \pm \left[ \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 5 \right] = \pm \frac{5}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (56), (57), (63) and the facts that  $\overline{\eta_2} = 0$  we estimate the covariances  $\text{Cov}(\eta_2, X_1^{(2)})$  and  $\text{Cov}(\eta_2, X_2^{(2)})$  in order to choose the correct sign in (63).

$$\begin{split} &\widehat{\text{Cov}}(\eta_2, X_1^{(2)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{3-1} \left[ \eta_{1,2} \left( x_{1,1}^{(2)} - \overline{x_1^{(2)}} \right) + \eta_{2,2} \left( x_{2,1}^{(2)} - \overline{x_1^{(2)}} \right) + \eta_{3,2} \left( x_{3,1}^{(2)} - \overline{x_1^{(2)}} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\mp 5) \cdot (-5) + \left( \pm \frac{5}{2} \right) \cdot 5 + \left( \pm \frac{5}{2} \right) \cdot 0 \right] = \pm \frac{75}{4}, \\ &\widehat{\text{Cov}}(\eta_2, X_2^{(2)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{3-1} \left[ \eta_{1,2} \left( x_{1,2}^{(2)} - \overline{x_2^{(2)}} \right) + \eta_{2,2} \left( x_{2,2}^{(2)} - \overline{x_2^{(2)}} \right) + \eta_{3,2} \left( x_{3,2}^{(2)} - \overline{x_2^{(2)}} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\mp 5) \cdot (-5) + \left( \pm \frac{5}{2} \right) \cdot 0 + \left( \pm \frac{5}{2} \right) \cdot 5 \right] = \pm \frac{75}{4}. \end{split}$$

Hence we choose the plus sign in (63) and get the following data for  $\eta_2$ 

$$\eta_{1,2} = -5, \qquad \eta_{2,2} = \frac{5}{2}, \qquad \eta_{3,2} = \frac{5}{2}.$$

We note that  $\overline{\eta_2} = 0$  and estimate the standard deviation of  $\eta_2$ 

$$s_{\eta_2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left( \eta_{1,2}^2 + \eta_{2,2}^2 + \eta_{3,2}^2 \right)} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left( \left( -5 \right)^2 + \left( \frac{5}{2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{5}{2} \right)^2 \right)} = \sqrt{\frac{75}{4}} = \frac{5 \cdot \sqrt{3}}{2}$$

Thus the data of the estimator  $\widehat{\xi_1}$  of  $\xi_1$  is given by

$$\xi_{1,2} = \frac{\eta_{1,2} - \overline{\eta_2}}{s_{\eta_2}} = \frac{2 \cdot (-5)}{5 \cdot \sqrt{3}} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}},$$
  

$$\xi_{2,2} = \frac{\eta_{2,2} - \overline{\eta_2}}{s_{\eta_2}} = \frac{2 \cdot (5/2)}{5 \cdot \sqrt{3}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}},$$
  

$$\xi_{3,2} = \frac{\eta_{3,2} - \overline{\eta_2}}{s_{\eta_2}} = \frac{2 \cdot (5/2)}{5 \cdot \sqrt{3}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}.$$
(64)

For the subsequent steps we summarize the results from (62) and (64):

Data for estimator  $\hat{\xi}_1$  of  $\xi_1$ :  $\xi_{1,1} = -1$ ,  $\xi_{2,1} = 0$ ,  $\xi_{3,1} = 1$ . (65) Data for estimator  $\hat{\xi}_2$  of  $\xi_2$ :  $\xi_{1,2} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ ,  $\xi_{2,2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ ,  $\xi_{3,2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ . (66)

Using the results from Ex. 5.1 (a) for the structural equation model given in Ex. 5.1 (a), execute step 2 of the iterative algorithm with the centroid weights scheme.

<u>Solution</u>: Step 2, Approximation for  $\xi_1$ : The latent variable  $\xi_1$  is only linked to  $\xi_2$ . Thus the data for  $\rho_1 = e_{1,2} \xi_2$  is given by

$$\rho_{n,1} = e_{1,2} \xi_{n,2} \quad \text{with} \quad e_{1,2} = \text{sign of } \widehat{\text{Cov}}(\widehat{\xi_1}, \widehat{\xi_2}). \tag{67}$$

From (65) and (66) we find

$$\widehat{\mathsf{Cov}}(\widehat{\xi_1}, \widehat{\xi_2}) = \frac{1}{3-1} \left[ \xi_{1,1} \, \xi_{1,2} + \xi_{2,1} \, \xi_{2,2} + \xi_{3,1} \, \xi_{3,2} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( -1 \right) \cdot \left( -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \right) + 0 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + 1 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right] = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}.$$
(68)

Hence we have  $e_{1,2} = 1$ , and (67) becomes

$$\rho_{n,1} = e_{1,2}\,\xi_{n,2} = \xi_{n,2}.\tag{69}$$

Substituting the data (66) into (69) yields

$$\rho_{1,1} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}, \qquad \rho_{2,1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \qquad \rho_{3,1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}},$$

and since this data is already standardized we have  $\nu_{n,1} = \rho_{n,1}$ . Thus,

data for 
$$\nu_1$$
:  $\nu_{1,1} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ ,  $\nu_{2,1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ ,  $\nu_{3,1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ . (70)

Step 2, Approximation for  $\xi_2$ : The latent variable  $\xi_2$  is only linked to  $\xi_1$ . Thus the data for  $\rho_2 = e_{2,1}\xi_1$  is given by

$$\rho_{n,2} = e_{2,1} \xi_{n,1} \quad \text{with} \quad e_{2,1} = \text{sign of } \widehat{\text{Cov}}(\widehat{\xi}_2, \widehat{\xi}_1).$$
(71)

Since  $\widehat{\text{Cov}}(\widehat{\xi}_2, \widehat{\xi}_1) = \widehat{\text{Cov}}(\widehat{\xi}_1, \widehat{\xi}_2)$ , we have from (68) that  $e_{2,1} = 1$ , and (71) becomes

$$\rho_{n,2} = \mathbf{e}_{2,1}\,\xi_{n,1} = \xi_{n,1}.\tag{72}$$

Substituting the data (65) into (72) yields

$$\rho_{1,2} = -1, \qquad \rho_{2,2} = 0, \qquad \rho_{3,2} = 1,$$

and since this data is already standardized we have  $\nu_{n,1} = \rho_{n,1}$ . Thus,

data for 
$$\nu_2$$
:  $\nu_{1,2} = -1$ ,  $\nu_{2,2} = 0$ ,  $\nu_{3,2} = 1$ . (73)

#### Ex. 5.1 (c) Step 3 (Mode A) of the Iterative Algorithm

Using the results from Ex. 5.1 (a) to (b) for the structural equation model given in Ex. 5.1 (a), *execute step 3 of the iterative algorithm*.

Solution: New Weights for Bock 1: The new weight is given by

$$\begin{split} w_1^{(1)} &= \widehat{\operatorname{Cov}}(X_1^{(1)}, \nu_1) \\ &= \frac{1}{3-1} \left[ \left( x_{1,1}^{(1)} - \overline{x_1^{(1)}} \right) \nu_{1,1} + \left( x_{2,1}^{(1)} - \overline{x_1^{(1)}} \right) \nu_{2,1} + \left( x_{3,1}^{(1)} - \overline{x_1^{(1)}} \right) \nu_{3,1} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( -10 \right) \cdot \left( -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \right) + 0 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + 10 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \frac{30}{\sqrt{3}} = 5 \cdot \sqrt{3}. \end{split}$$

where we have used the data (55) for  $X_1^{(1)}$  and the data (70) for  $\nu_1$ . New Weights for Bock 2: The new weights are given by

$$w_1^{(2)} = \widehat{\text{Cov}}(X_1^{(2)}, \nu_2)$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{3-1} \left[ \left( x_{1,1}^{(2)} - \overline{x_1^{(2)}} \right) \nu_{1,2} + \left( x_{2,1}^{(2)} - \overline{x_1^{(2)}} \right) \nu_{2,2} + \left( x_{3,1}^{(2)} - \overline{x_1^{(2)}} \right) \nu_{3,2} \right]$   
=  $\frac{1}{2} \left[ (-5) \cdot (-1) + 5 \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot 1 \right] = \frac{5}{2} = 2.5,$ 

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

#### Ex. 5.1 (c) Step 3 (Mode A) of the Iterative Algorithm

$$\begin{split} w_2^{(2)} &= \widehat{\text{Cov}}(X_2^{(2)}, \nu_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{3-1} \left[ \left( x_{1,2}^{(2)} - \overline{x_2^{(2)}} \right) \nu_{1,2} + \left( x_{2,2}^{(2)} - \overline{x_2^{(2)}} \right) \nu_{2,2} + \left( x_{3,2}^{(2)} - \overline{x_2^{(2)}} \right) \nu_{3,2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (-5) \cdot (-1) + 0 \cdot 0 + 5 \cdot 1 \right] = \frac{10}{2} = 5, \end{split}$$

where we have used the data (56) and (57) for  $X_1^{(1)}$  and  $X_2^{(2)}$ , respectively, and the data (73) for  $\nu_2$ .

Summarizing we have found the following new weights for the next step 1:

Weights for measurement Block 1 for  $\xi_1$ :  $w_1^{(1)} = 5 \cdot \sqrt{3} \approx 8.66$  (74)

Weights for measurement Block 2 for  $\xi_2$ :  $w_1^{(2)} = \frac{5}{2}$ ,  $w_2^{(2)} = 5$  (75)

Using the results from Ex. 5.1 (a) for the structural equation model given in Ex. 5.1 (a) to (c), execute a second iterative step of the iterative algorithm.

#### Solution:

Step 1, Block 1: First we compute the data for  $\eta_1$ . Using (74) and (55)

$$\eta_{1,1} = \pm w_1^{(1)} \left( x_{1,1}^{(1)} - \overline{x_1^{(1)}} \right) = \pm 5 \cdot \sqrt{3} \cdot (-10) = \mp 50 \cdot \sqrt{3},$$
  

$$\eta_{2,1} = \pm w_1^{(1)} \left( x_{2,1}^{(1)} - \overline{x_1^{(1)}} \right) = \pm 5 \cdot \sqrt{3} \cdot 0 = 0,$$
  

$$\eta_{3,1} = \pm w_1^{(1)} \left( x_{3,1}^{(1)} - \overline{x_1^{(1)}} \right) = \pm 5 \cdot \sqrt{3} \cdot 10 = \pm 50 \cdot \sqrt{3}.$$
(76)

Next we estimate the covariance  $Cov(\eta_1, X_1^{(1)})$  from the the data (76) and (55) in oder to choose the correct sign in (76). We note that  $\overline{\eta_1} = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathsf{Cov}}(\eta_1, X_1^{(1)}) &= \frac{1}{3-1} \left[ \eta_{1,1} \left( x_{1,1}^{(1)} - \overline{x_1^{(1)}} \right) + \eta_{2,1} \left( x_{2,1}^{(1)} - \overline{x_1^{(1)}} \right) + \eta_{3,1} \left( x_{3,1}^{(1)} - \overline{x_1^{(1)}} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\mp 50 \cdot \sqrt{3}) \cdot (-10) + 0 \cdot 0 + (\pm 50 \cdot \sqrt{3}) \cdot (10) \right] = \pm 500 \cdot \sqrt{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the estimated correlation is positive if we choose the plus sign in (76), and then we have

$$\eta_{1,1} = -50 \cdot \sqrt{3}, \qquad \eta_{2,1} = 0, \qquad \eta_{3,1} = 50 \cdot \sqrt{3}.$$
 (77)

The data (77) of  $\eta_1$  has already mean  $\overline{\eta_1} = 0$  and we compute its standard deviation

$$s_{\eta_1} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} (\eta_{1,1}^2 + \eta_{2,1}^2 + \eta_{3,1}^2)}$$
  
=  $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} ((-50 \cdot \sqrt{3})^2 + 0^2 + (50 \cdot \sqrt{3})^2)} = \sqrt{75000} = 50 \cdot \sqrt{3}.$ 

Thus the data of the estimator  $\widehat{\xi_1}$  of  $\xi_1$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{1,1} &= \frac{\eta_{1,1} - \overline{\eta_1}}{s_{\eta_1}} = \frac{-50 \cdot \sqrt{3}}{50 \cdot \sqrt{3}} = -1, \\ \xi_{2,1} &= \frac{\eta_{2,1} - \overline{\eta_1}}{s_{\eta_1}} = \frac{0}{50 \cdot \sqrt{3}} = 0, \\ \xi_{3,1} &= \frac{\eta_{3,1} - \overline{\eta_1}}{s_{\eta_1}} = \frac{50 \cdot \sqrt{3}}{50 \cdot \sqrt{3}} = 1. \end{aligned}$$
(78)

Step 1, Block 2: First we compute the data for  $\eta_2$ . Using (75), (56) and (57), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{1,2} &= \pm \left[ w_1^{(2)} \left( x_{1,1}^{(2)} - \overline{x_1^{(2)}} \right) + w_2^{(2)} \left( x_{1,2}^{(2)} - \overline{x_2^{(2)}} \right) \right] \\ &= \pm \left[ \frac{5}{2} \cdot (-5) + 5 \cdot (-5) \right] = \mp \frac{75}{2}, \end{aligned} \tag{79} \\ \eta_{2,2} &= \pm \left[ w_1^{(2)} \left( x_{2,1}^{(2)} - \overline{x_1^{(2)}} \right) + w_2^{(2)} \left( x_{2,2}^{(2)} - \overline{x_2^{(2)}} \right) \right] = \pm \left[ \frac{5}{2} \cdot 5 + 5 \cdot 0 \right] = \pm \frac{25}{2}, \end{aligned} \\ \eta_{3,2} &= \pm \left[ w_1^{(2)} \left( x_{3,1}^{(2)} - \overline{x_1^{(2)}} \right) + w_2^{(2)} \left( x_{3,2}^{(2)} - \overline{x_2^{(2)}} \right) \right] = \pm \left[ \frac{5}{2} \cdot 0 + 5 \cdot 5 \right] = \pm 25. \end{aligned}$$

Using (56), (57), (79) and the facts that  $\overline{\eta_2} = 0$  we estimate the covariances  $\text{Cov}(\eta_2, X_1^{(2)})$  and  $\text{Cov}(\eta_2, X_2^{(2)})$  in order to choose the correct sign in (79).

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\text{Cov}}(\eta_2, X_1^{(2)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{3-1} \left[ \eta_{1,2} \left( x_{1,1}^{(2)} - \overline{x_1^{(2)}} \right) + \eta_{2,2} \left( x_{2,1}^{(2)} - \overline{x_1^{(2)}} \right) + \eta_{3,2} \left( x_{3,1}^{(2)} - \overline{x_1^{(2)}} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \mp \frac{75}{2} \right) \cdot (-5) + \left( \pm \frac{25}{2} \right) \cdot 5 + \left( \pm 5 \right) \cdot 0 \right] = \pm \frac{500}{4} = \pm 125, \\ \widehat{\text{Cov}}(\eta_2, X_2^{(2)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{3-1} \left[ \eta_{1,2} \left( x_{1,2}^{(2)} - \overline{x_2^{(2)}} \right) + \eta_{2,2} \left( x_{2,2}^{(2)} - \overline{x_2^{(2)}} \right) + \eta_{3,2} \left( x_{3,2}^{(2)} - \overline{x_2^{(2)}} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \mp \frac{75}{2} \right) \cdot (-5) + \left( \pm \frac{25}{2} \right) \cdot 0 + \left( \pm 5 \right) \cdot 5 \right] = \pm \frac{425}{4}. \end{split}$$

Hence we choose the plus sign in (79) and get the following data for  $\eta_2$ 

$$\eta_{1,2} = -\frac{75}{2}, \qquad \eta_{2,2} = \frac{25}{2}, \qquad \eta_{3,2} = 5.$$

We note that  $\overline{\eta_2} = 0$  and estimate the standard deviation of  $\eta_2$ 

$$s_{\eta_2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\eta_{1,2}^2 + \eta_{2,2}^2 + \eta_{3,2}^2)} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(-\frac{75}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{25}{2}\right)^2 + 5^2\right)} = \frac{5\cdot\sqrt{127}}{2}$$

Thus the data of the estimator  $\widehat{\xi_1}$  of  $\xi_1$  is given by

$$\xi_{1,2} = \frac{\eta_{1,2} - \overline{\eta_2}}{s_{\eta_2}} = \frac{2}{5 \cdot \sqrt{127}} \cdot \left(-\frac{75}{2}\right) = -\frac{15}{\sqrt{127}},$$
  

$$\xi_{2,2} = \frac{\eta_{2,2} - \overline{\eta_2}}{s_{\eta_2}} = \frac{2}{5 \cdot \sqrt{127}} \cdot \frac{25}{2} = \frac{5}{\sqrt{127}},$$
  

$$\xi_{3,2} = \frac{\eta_{3,2} - \overline{\eta_2}}{s_{\eta_2}} = \frac{2 \cdot 5}{5 \cdot \sqrt{127}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{127}}.$$
(80)

For the subsequent steps we summarize the results from (78) and (80):

Data for estimator  $\hat{\xi}_1$  of  $\xi_1$ :  $\xi_{1,1} = -1$ ,  $\xi_{2,1} = 0$ ,  $\xi_{3,1} = 1$ . (81) Data for estimator  $\hat{\xi}_2$  of  $\xi_2$ :  $\xi_{1,2} = -\frac{15}{\sqrt{127}}$ ,  $\xi_{2,2} = \frac{5}{\sqrt{127}}$ ,  $\xi_{3,2} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{127}}$ . (82)
Step 2, Approximation for  $\xi_1$ : The latent variable  $\xi_1$  is only linked to  $\xi_2$ . Thus the data for  $\rho_1 = e_{1,2} \xi_2$  is given by

$$\rho_{n,1} = e_{1,2}\xi_{n,2} \quad \text{with} \quad e_{1,2} = \text{sign of } \widehat{\text{Cov}}(\widehat{\xi_1}, \widehat{\xi_2}). \tag{83}$$

From (81) and (82) we find

$$\widehat{\mathsf{Cov}}(\widehat{\xi_1}, \widehat{\xi_2}) = \frac{1}{3-1} \left[ \xi_{1,1} \, \xi_{1,2} + \xi_{2,1} \, \xi_{2,2} + \xi_{3,1} \, \xi_{3,2} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( -1 \right) \cdot \left( -\frac{15}{\sqrt{127}} \right) + 0 \cdot \frac{5}{\sqrt{127}} + 1 \cdot \frac{2}{\sqrt{127}} \right] = \frac{17}{2 \cdot \sqrt{127}}.$$
 (84)

Hence we have  $e_{1,2} = 1$ , and (83) becomes

$$\rho_{n,1} = e_{1,2}\,\xi_{n,2} = \xi_{n,2}.\tag{85}$$

Substituting the data (82) into (85) yields

$$\rho_{1,1} = -\frac{15}{\sqrt{127}}, \qquad \rho_{2,1} = \frac{5}{\sqrt{127}}, \qquad \rho_{3,1} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{127}},$$

and since this data is already standardized we have  $\nu_{n,1} = \rho_{n,1}$ . Hence,

data for 
$$\nu_1$$
:  $\nu_{1,1} = -\frac{15}{\sqrt{127}}, \quad \nu_{2,1} = \frac{5}{\sqrt{127}}, \quad \nu_{3,1} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{127}}.$  (86)

Step 2, Approximation for  $\xi_2$ : The latent variable  $\xi_2$  is only linked to  $\xi_1$ . Thus the data for  $\rho_2 = e_{2,1} \xi_1$  is given by

$$\rho_{n,2} = e_{2,1}\xi_{n,1} \quad \text{with} \quad e_{2,1} = \text{sign of } \widehat{\text{Cov}}(\widehat{\xi_2},\widehat{\xi_1}). \quad (87)$$

Since  $\widehat{\text{Cov}}(\widehat{\xi}_2, \widehat{\xi}_1) = \widehat{\text{Cov}}(\widehat{\xi}_1, \widehat{\xi}_2)$ , we have from (84) that  $e_{2,1} = 1$ , and (87) becomes

$$\rho_{n,2} = e_{2,1}\,\xi_{n,1} = \xi_{n,1}.\tag{88}$$

Substituting the data (81) into (88) yields

$$\rho_{1,2} = -1, \qquad \rho_{2,2} = 0, \qquad \rho_{3,2} = 1,$$

and since this data is already standardized we have  $\nu_{n,1} = \rho_{n,1}$ . Hence,

data for 
$$\nu_2$$
:  $\nu_{1,2} = -1$ ,  $\nu_{2,2} = 0$ ,  $\nu_{3,2} = 1$ . (89)

New Weights for Bock 1: The new weight is given by

$$w_{1}^{(1)} = \widehat{\text{Cov}}(X_{1}^{(1)}, \nu_{1})$$

$$= \frac{1}{3-1} \left[ \left( x_{1,1}^{(1)} - \overline{x_{1}^{(1)}} \right) \nu_{1,1} + \left( x_{2,1}^{(1)} - \overline{x_{1}^{(1)}} \right) \nu_{2,1} + \left( x_{3,1}^{(1)} - \overline{x_{1}^{(1)}} \right) \nu_{3,1} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( -10 \right) \cdot \left( -\frac{15}{\sqrt{127}} \right) + 0 \cdot \frac{5}{\sqrt{127}} + 10 \cdot \frac{2}{\sqrt{127}} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \frac{170}{\sqrt{127}} = \frac{85}{\sqrt{127}} \approx 7.54.$$

where we have used the data (55) for  $X_1^{(1)}$  and the data (86) for  $\nu_1$ . New Weights for Bock 2: The new weights are given by

$$w_1^{(2)} = \widehat{\text{Cov}}(X_1^{(2)}, \nu_2) = \frac{1}{3-1} \left[ \left( x_{1,1}^{(2)} - \overline{x_1^{(2)}} \right) \nu_{1,2} + \left( x_{2,1}^{(2)} - \overline{x_1^{(2)}} \right) \nu_{2,2} + \left( x_{3,1}^{(2)} - \overline{x_1^{(2)}} \right) \nu_{3,2} \right]$$

$$\begin{split} w_1^{(2)} &= \widehat{\operatorname{Cov}}(X_1^{(2)}, \nu_2) = \frac{1}{2} [(-5) \cdot (-1) + 5 \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot 1] = \frac{5}{2} = 2.5, \\ w_2^{(2)} &= \widehat{\operatorname{Cov}}(X_2^{(2)}, \nu_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{3-1} \left[ \left( x_{1,2}^{(2)} - \overline{x_2^{(2)}} \right) \nu_{1,2} + \left( x_{2,2}^{(2)} - \overline{x_2^{(2)}} \right) \nu_{2,2} + \left( x_{3,2}^{(2)} - \overline{x_2^{(2)}} \right) \nu_{3,2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [(-5) \cdot (-1) + 0 \cdot 0 + 5 \cdot 1] = \frac{10}{2} = 5, \end{split}$$

where we have used the data (56) and (57) for  $X_1^{(1)}$  and  $X_2^{(2)}$ , respectively, and the data (89) for  $\nu_2$ .

Summarizing we have found the following new weights for the next step 1:

Weights for measurement Block 1 for  $\xi_1$ :  $w_1^{(1)} = \frac{85}{\sqrt{127}} \approx 7.54$  (90) Weights for measurement Block 2 for  $\xi_2$ :  $w_1^{(2)} = \frac{5}{2}$ ,  $w_2^{(2)} = 5$  (91)

Inspect the results and computations from Ex. 5.1 (a) to (d) for the structural equation model given in Ex. 5.1 (a), and in particular compare the weights  $w_p^{(q)}$  from the two iterative steps and observe their effect. Use your observations to predict the results of subsequent iterative steps. What happens after the third iterative step?

<u>Solution</u>: We start by comparing the weights computed in the first and second iterative step: In both iterative steps we had (see (75) and (91))

$$w_1^{(2)} = \frac{5}{2}$$
 and  $w_2^{(2)} = 5$ , (92)

i.e. the weights for measurement block 2 have not changed. For the weight  $w_1^{(1)}$  of measurement block 1 we had different values in the two iterative steps. In the first step we had  $w_1^{(1)} = 5 \cdot \sqrt{3} \approx 8.66$  (see (74)), and in the second step we found (see (90))

$$w_1^{(1)} = \frac{85}{\sqrt{127}} \approx 7.54. \tag{93}$$

Next we inspect the computation done in the steps of the algorithm and consider what will happen in the third iterative step:

Step 1, Block 1: Here we compute first

$$\eta_{n,1} = \pm w_1^{(1)} \left( x_{n,1}^{(1)} - \overline{x_1^{(1)}} \right), \tag{94}$$

where the sign has to be chosen such that  $\widehat{\text{Cov}}(\eta_1, X_1^{(1)})$  is positive, and afterwards we standardize the data for  $\eta_1$  to obtain data for  $\hat{\xi}_1$ .

So far all our values for  $w_1^{(1)}$  have been positive We note that in (94), if  $w_1^{(1)} > 0$  and if we choose the plus sign, the data for  $\eta_1$  is just a positive multiple of the data for  $X_1^{(1)}$ . Thus if  $w_1^{(1)} > 0$  and if we choose the plus sign.  $\widehat{Cov}(\eta_1, X_1^{(1)})$  will have the same sign as

$$\widehat{\mathsf{Cov}}(X_1^{(1)},X_1^{(1)}) = \mathsf{Var}(X_1^{(1)}) > 0.$$

Hence for positive weights  $w_1^{(1)}$  we must choose the plus sign in (94),

and we get

$$\eta_{n,1} = w_1^{(1)} \left( x_{n,1}^{(1)} - \overline{x_1^{(1)}} \right), \tag{95}$$

Next we note that, because the data (95) for  $\eta_1$  is obtained by multiplying the centered data of  $X_1^{(1)}$  with a positive factor, standardizing the data for  $\eta_1$  will give the same result as standardizing the data for  $X_1^{(1)}$ . Hence for positive weights  $w_1^{(1)}$  the data for  $\hat{\xi}_1$  does not depend on the value of the positive weight  $w_1^{(1)}$  and we get always the same result as in the first and second step (see (65) and (81)), namely

$$\xi_{1,1} = -1, \qquad \xi_{2,1} = 0, \qquad \xi_{3,1} = 1.$$
 (96)

In particular we will get (96) in the third iterative step.

Step 1, Block 2: Here we first compute

$$\eta_{n,2} = \pm \left[ w_1^{(2)} \left( x_{n,1}^{(2)} - \overline{x_1^{(2)}} \right) + w_2^{(2)} \left( x_{n,2}^{(2)} - \overline{x_2^{(2)}} \right) \right], \tag{97}$$

where the sign has to be chosen such that  $\widehat{\text{Cov}}(\eta_2, X_1^{(2)})$ ,  $\widehat{\text{Cov}}(\eta_2, X_2^{(2)})$  or both are positive, and afterwards we standardize the data for  $\eta_2$  to obtain data for  $\widehat{\xi}_2$ .

As the weights  $w_1^{(2)}$  and  $w_2^{(2)}$  are still the same as in the previous step, we will also get the same results for the data for  $\hat{\xi}_2$  as in the previous step, namely in the third iterative step we get (see (82))

$$\xi_{1,2} = -\frac{15}{\sqrt{127}}, \qquad \xi_{2,2} = \frac{5}{\sqrt{127}}, \qquad \xi_{3,2} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{127}}.$$
 (98)

So in the third iterative step we have found exactly the same values for the data of  $\hat{\xi}_1$  and  $\hat{\xi}_2$  as in the second iterative step.

Step 2, Approximation of  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  in the inner model: Here we have two identical weights from the centroid weighting scheme given by

$$e_{1,2} = e_{2,1} = \text{sign of } \widehat{\text{Cov}}(\widehat{\xi_1},\widehat{\xi_2})$$

As the data for  $\hat{\xi}_1$  and  $\hat{\xi}_2$  in the third iterative step is the same same as in the previous iterative step, their empirical covariance is also the same and we find (see (84))

$$e_{1,2}=e_{2,1}=1.$$

Thus the data for  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  is given by the same formulas as in the second iterative step and we have (see (85) and (88))

$$\rho_{n,1} = \xi_{n,2} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{n,2} = \xi_{n,1}$$
(99)

As the data of  $\hat{\xi_1}$  and  $\hat{\xi_2}$  was already standardized, (99) immediately implies for the third iterative step

$$u_{n,1} = \xi_{n,2} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_{n,2} = \xi_{n,1}$$

which is just the same formula as in the second iterative step.

As we found in step 1 that the data of  $\hat{\xi}_1$  and  $\hat{\xi}_2$  in the third step has the same values as in the second step, the data for  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  in both steps has also the same values and we find (from (98) and (96))

$$\nu_{1,1} = -\frac{15}{\sqrt{127}}, \qquad \nu_{2,1} = \frac{5}{\sqrt{127}}, \qquad \nu_{3,1} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{127}}, \qquad (100)$$
$$\nu_{1,2} = -1, \qquad \nu_{2,2} = 0, \qquad \nu_{3,2} = 1. \qquad (101)$$

(See (86) and (89) in the second iterative step for comparison.) Step 3, computation of the new weights: The new weights are computed with the formulas

$$w_1^{(1)} = \widehat{\text{Cov}}(X_1^{(1)}, \nu_1), \qquad w_1^{(2)} = \widehat{\text{Cov}}(X_1^{(2)}, \nu_2), \qquad w_2^{(2)} = \widehat{\text{Cov}}(X_2^{(2)}, \nu_2),$$

and as we have the same data (100) and (101) for  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  in the third and second iterative step, we will also get the same weights as in the second step. Hence, we find (see (90) and (91))

$$w_1^{(1)} = \frac{85}{\sqrt{127}} \approx 7.54, \qquad w_1^{(2)} = \frac{5}{2} \qquad \text{and} \qquad w_2^{(2)} = 5.$$
 (102)

Further Iterative Steps: As weights at the beginning of the forth iterative step (see (102)) are the same as the weights at the beginning of the third iterative step (see (92) and (93)), it is clear that any further iterative steps will produce exactly the same results as the second and the third iterative step.

What happens after the third iterative step? After each step we have to test the stopping criterion, and in the third step we get (for the first time) the same weights as in the previous step. Computing the stopping criterion after step 3, we therefore find

$$\Delta = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left| (w_1^{(1)})^{\mathsf{new}} - (w_1^{(1)})^{\mathsf{old}} \right|, \\ \left| (w_1^{(2)})^{\mathsf{new}} - (w_1^{(2)})^{\mathsf{old}} \right|, \\ \left| (w_2^{(2)})^{\mathsf{new}} - (w_2^{(2)})^{\mathsf{old}} \right| \end{array} \right\} = 0$$

and the iterative algorithm stops.

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

# Ex. 5.1 (f) Values of the Latent Variables and Path Coeffs.

Using the results from Ex. 5.1 (a) to (e) for the structural equation model given in Ex. 5.1 (a), stop the iterative algorithm after the third step and compute the estimates of the latent variables and the path coefficients. Inspect your results.

#### Solution:

Final Values for the Latent Variables: From the considerations in Ex. 5.1 (e) we know that the values of another application of step 1 (after the end of the third iterative step) provide the following final values for  $\hat{\xi}_1$  and  $\hat{\xi}_2$  (see (96) and (98)):

$$\xi_{1,1} = -1, \qquad \xi_{2,1} = 0, \qquad \xi_{3,1} = 1,$$
 (103)

$$\xi_{1,2} = -\frac{15}{\sqrt{127}}, \qquad \xi_{2,2} = \frac{5}{\sqrt{127}}, \qquad \xi_{3,2} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{127}}.$$
 (104)

Computation of the Path Coefficients: Here we have to compute only one path coefficient  $\beta_{2,1}$  (for the arrow pointing from  $\xi_1$  to  $\xi_2$ ).

Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

# Ex. 5.1 (f) Values of the Latent Variables and Path Coeffs.

The matrix  $\Xi_2$  contains here only the data for the final values of the one variable  $\xi_1$  (as this is the only variable from whom an arrow points to  $\xi_2$ ). Thus (using (103))

$$\mathbf{\Xi}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{1,1} \\ \xi_{2,1} \\ \xi_{3,1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the vector  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_2$  contains the final values for  $\xi_2$  and is given by (use (104))

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{1,2} \\ \xi_{2,2} \\ \xi_{3,2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{15}{\sqrt{127}} \\ \frac{5}{\sqrt{127}} \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{127}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The coefficient  $\beta_{2,1}$  is computed with the least squares formula

$$\beta_{2,1} = (\Xi_2' \Xi_2)^{-1} \Xi_2' \xi_2.$$
(105)

## Ex. 5.1 (f) Values of the Latent Variables and Path Coeffs.

We start by computing  $(\Xi'_2 \Xi_2)^{-1}$ ,

$${f \Xi}_2^{\prime} \, {f \Xi}_2 = (-1,0,1) \left( egin{array}{c} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} 
ight) = (-1)^2 + 0^2 + 1^2 = 2$$

and thus

$$(\Xi_2' \Xi_2)^{-1} = 2^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}.$$
 (106)

Next we compute

$$\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{2}'\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2} = (-1,0,1) \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{15}{\sqrt{127}} \\ \frac{5}{\sqrt{127}} \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{127}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{15}{\sqrt{127}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{127}} = \frac{17}{\sqrt{127}} \approx 1.51. \quad (107)$$

Substituting (107) and (106) into (105) yields

$$eta_{2,1} = (\Xi_2' \Xi_2)^{-1} \Xi_2' \, \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 = rac{1}{2} \cdot rac{17}{\sqrt{127}} = rac{17}{2 \cdot \sqrt{127}} pprox 0.754.$$

*Compare the coefficients* of the PLS model from Ex. 5.1 with the LISREL model from Ex. 4.3. To do this, you need to consider the *standardized coefficients*, because the variables in the two models are scaled differently.

For a regression equation

$$X - \mu_X = \gamma_1 \, \xi_1 + \gamma_2 \, \xi_2 + \ldots + \gamma_m \, \xi_m + \delta,$$

where  $\delta$  is the error term and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_m$  the coefficients, the standardized coefficients  $\widetilde{\gamma_j}$  are given by

$$\widetilde{\gamma}_{j} = \gamma_{j} \cdot \frac{\sigma_{\xi_{j}}}{\sigma_{X}} = \gamma_{j} \cdot \frac{\text{standard deviation of } \xi_{j}}{\text{standard deviation of } X}.$$
(108)

For computing the standardized coefficients, we have to estimate the standard deviations in (108) by the empirical standard deviations  $s_{\xi_j}$  and  $s_X$  computed from the data.

## Ex. 5.2: Comparing the PLS Model and the LISREL Model

<u>Solution</u>: For the *PLS model* (diagram below) we found  $\beta_{2,1} \approx 0.754$ . To standardize  $\beta_{2,1}$  we need the standard deviations for the final data of  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$ . As  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  are standardized we have  $\sigma_{\xi_1} = s_{\xi_1} = 1$  and  $\sigma_{\xi_2} = s_{\xi_2} = 1$ , and the standardized coefficient for  $\beta_{2,1}$  is

$$\widetilde{\beta_{2,1}} = \beta_{2,1} \cdot \frac{s_{\xi_1}}{s_{\xi_2}} = \beta_{2,1} \approx 0.745.$$



## Ex. 5.2: Comparing the PLS Model and the LISREL Model

For the *LISREL model* (diagram below) we found that  $\gamma_{1,1} = 0.25 = 1/4$ , and we computed  $Var(\xi_1) = \phi_{1,1} = 100$ . Hence the empirical standard deviation  $s_{\xi_1} = 10$ . The variance of  $\eta_1$  was no directly computed, but from (40), (51) and  $\lambda_{2,1}^Y = 2$  we have

$$\mathsf{Var}(\eta_1) = \frac{\mathsf{Cov}(Y_1, Y_2)}{\lambda_{2,1}^Y} = \frac{12.5}{2} = 6.25,$$

and so  $s_{\eta_1} = \sqrt{6.25} = 5/2$ . Hence the standardized coefficient for  $\gamma_{1,1}$  is

$$\widetilde{\gamma_{1,1}} = \gamma_{1,1} \cdot \frac{s_{\xi_1}}{s_{\eta_1}} = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{10}{5/2} = 1.$$



Dr. Kerstin Hesse (HHL)

HHL, June 1-2, 2012

125 / 126

The standardized coefficients for the path from  $\xi_1$  to  $\xi_2$  (PLS) and  $\xi$  to  $\eta_1$  (LISREL), respectively, in the inner structural model are  $\widetilde{\beta_{2,1}} \approx 0.745$  (PLS) and  $\widetilde{\gamma_{1,1}} = 1$  (LISREL). So we note the two models give slightly different results for our example.